114TH CONGRESS 1st Session



To amend the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 to extend Interstate Route 11.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

Mr. HELLER (for himself, Mr. REID, Mr. FLAKE, and Mr. MCCAIN) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on ______

A BILL

To amend the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 to extend Interstate Route 11.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Intermountain West
- 5 Corridor Development Act of 2015".

6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

- 7 Congress finds that—
- 8 (1) Interstate Route 11 would significantly en-
- 9 hance for the Western United States—
- 10 (A) commerce;

EDW15288

S.L.C.

EDW15288	8 S.IU.
	2
1	(B) tourism;
2	(C) international trade;
3	(D) economic vitality; and
4	(E) competitiveness on the global stage;
5	(2) Interstate Route 11 would connect commu-
6	nities and economic systems in the States of Arizona
7	and Nevada, including—
8	(A) the 2 largest cities in the United
9	States without an Interstate connection;
10	(B) major trade hubs;
11	(C) existing and future domestic and inter-
12	national deep-water ports; and
13	(D) transcontinental roadways and railroad
14	corridors;
15	(3) Interstate Route 11 would improve safety
16	and travel time in north-south corridors of the West-
17	ern United States; and
18	(4) the establishment of Interstate Route 11
19	from the State of Arizona-Mexico border through the
20	State of Nevada and, ultimately integrating into ex-
21	isting high priority corridors of the National High-
22	way System leading to the Canadian border, would
23	enhance the economic vitality of the Western United

24 States.

3

1 SEC. 3. ROUTE DESIGNATION.

2 Section 1105 of the Intermodal Surface Transpor3 tation Efficiency Act of 1991 (105 Stat. 2031) is amend4 ed—

5 (1) in subsection (c), by striking paragraph
6 (68) (105 Stat. 2032; 119 Stat. 1213) and inserting
7 the following:

8 "(68) The Washoe County Corridor and the
9 Intermountain West Corridor shall generally fol10 low—

"(A) in the case of the Washoe County
Corridor, along Interstate Route 580/United
States Route 95/United States Route 95A, from
Reno, Nevada, to Las Vegas, Nevada; and

"(B) in the case of the Intermountain
West Corridor, from the vicinity of Las Vegas
extending north along United States Route 95,
terminating at Interstate Route 80."; and

19 (2) in subsection (e)(5)—

20 (A) in subparagraph (A) (109 Stat. 597;
21 118 Stat. 293; 119 Stat. 1213), in the first
22 sentence—

23 (i) by inserting "subparagraphs (A)
24 and (B)(i) of subsection (c)(26)," after
25 "(c)(20),"; and

EDW15288

4

1	(ii) by striking "and subsection
2	(c)(57)" and inserting "subsection $(c)(57)$,
3	and subsection (c)(68)(B)"; and
4	(B) in subparagraph (C)(i) (109 Stat. 598;
5	126 Stat. 427), in the last sentence by inserting
6	", and subsection (c)(68)(B), extending south
7	from the vicinity of Wickenburg to Interstate
8	Route 10 and continuing south toward the Tuc-
9	son vicinity to the Nogales, Arizona region, es-
10	tablishing an international trade corridor by
11	employing Interstates in existence on the date
12	of enactment of the Intermountain West Cor-
13	ridor Development Act of 2015 or new align-
14	ments to be determined through appropriate
15	studies, and extending north from the vicinity
16	of Las Vegas along United States Route 95,
17	following alignments to be determined by fur-
18	ther study, terminating at Interstate Route
19	80," after "subsection (c)(26)".