

Wilson Creek HMA

7-29-88

~~Las Vegas~~

IN REPLY REFER TO:



United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

JUL 29 1988

CALIENTE RESOURCE AREA

P.O. Box 237

Caliente, Nevada 89008

4120.7
(NV-05580.01)

(702) 726-3141

*COTTONWOOD - CLOVER
BLACKHILLS - WILSON
SIX MILE, MONTE CRISTO*

Dear CRMP Participant:

Enclosed for your information are the minutes from the April 16, 1988 CRMP meeting in Caliente, Nevada. Also enclosed, as requested at the April 16 meeting, are two tables indicating census information pertaining to Caliente Resource Area Wild Horse Herd Management Areas.

The Bureau is responsible for multiple use management of our public lands. This can only be accomplished through consultation, coordination and cooperation with America's public land users. The CRMP process brings people together who strive to find common ground when considering management strategies, actions and evaluations. Through this effort, cooperation can be improved between agencies, groups and individuals. The results are public land use plans and activity plans that are developed, implemented and become operational that consider all affected interests while assuring conservation and a sustained yield of renewable resources.

Primarily, CRMP is a resource planning and management process. I appreciate and encourage CRMP participation. The recommendations that resulted from the last meeting have helped to focus limited resources on areas where management planning and actions are most needed and will have the most benefit. Thank you for your efforts and interest!

You may not be able to attend every CRMP meeting. However, if you desire, I will keep you informed of upcoming sessions and provide you with minutes and requested information.

Sincerely,

Curtis G. Tucker

Curtis G. Tucker
Area Manager

COORDINATED RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AND PLANNING
(CRMP)

MINUTES

CONFERENCE ROOM
ASCS
APRIL 16, 1988

A. Call to Order and Introductions

The meeting was called to order by Kelton Hafen

Representatives

Tim Murphy
Mike Neff
Maribah Cowley
Larry Johnston
Bill Roach
Dirk Agee
Marta Agee
Jack A. Thiel
Kraig Beckstrand
Steve and Glenda Medlin & Daughter
John Ballow
M.G. Hunt
Terry L. Smith
Richard A. Orr
Jon Kautt
H. Bruce Cox
John Hunt
William R. Weber
Sally Brundy
Kenneth Lee
William Freeman
Kelton Hafen
Curtis G. Tucker
Butch Padilla
Eddie Guerrero
Cory Bodman

Representing

BLM
BLM
BLM
BLM
Nevada Division of Forestry
Rancher
Rancher
BLM
NDOW-Panaca
D/4 Enterprise
Rancher
H.H. Land & Cattle
BLM
SCS
BLM
Rulon Cox/Sheep Spr. Allot.
Hunt Ash Springs
Mill Site
Mill Site
Rancher
National Mustang Assoc.
Rancher
BLM
NDOW-Las Vegas
BLM
BLM

ASH SPRINGS MANAGEMENT

Eddie Guerrero reported on Ash Springs Management. He expressed 3 concerns:

1. Mining Activities
2. Water Quality
3. Livestock Use

Eddie also talked about 3 species of T & E fish:

1. White River Springfish
2. Pahranaagat Roundtail Chub
3. Pahranaagat Spinedace - now extinct

Eddie expressed 3 concerns regarding the T & E fish:

1. Habitat alterations
2. Aquarium Fish being added to the waters
3. Pollutants

Eddie talked about the sideboards or the guidance by which BLM must manage Ash Springs:

1. Management Framework Plan Decisions
2. Pahranaagat Roundtail Chub Recovery Plan
3. Federal Register for identifying concerns of past affecting fish species.
4. Public and other.

Eddie says an HMP is written for the site in draft form, the draft needs finalizing.

Eddie said the activities on both private and public lands affecting Ash Springs are:

1. Recreation
2. Law Enforcement problems
3. Local use of Public Lands in previous years
4. A book published called Guide to Hot and Warm Springs in the West which attracts recreational users.
5. Also there is an electric wire running over the pond and it may be a health hazard.

Eddie gave several suggestions to assist in managing the Spring:

1. Periodically clean up of litter
2. Install trash receptacles
3. Install grills and picnic tables
4. Fence the Spring from cattle
5. Put up interpretive signs
6. Survey site to clarify the land ownership

Mining and Water Rights were addressed. Eddie said the rights need clarification. Mr. Bill Weber representing the Mill Sight stated that he would like to construct more at the Mill Sight and also would like the Mill Sight closer to the mine. He stated when he retires he would like to set up a wet stone factory. He said he would like to pump water to the Mill Sight.

Curt Tucker, BLM, CRA Area Manager stated he does not intend to infringe upon Mr. Webers rights. Curt said he feels good about the solutions as everyone has worked together. He feels we can continue working together with mining and T & E fish and to reach satisfactory solution in both areas.

Mrs. Brundy asked if a gate could be put in the fence and then locked with a chain to control vandalism at the Mill Sight and maybe enough keys could be made to supply all of the people who would require access.

Curt Tucker stated it was Public Lands and we cannot lock out the public although the Mill owners have the right to lock out the public from his claim. Curt suggested the mill owners could fence around the Mill Site.

Eddie stated that the Ultimate Goal and Priority of the Ash Springs Management is to protect the T & E Fish from all activities.

Livestock Grazing in the Ash Springs Management area was addressed. The Spring source permittees are:

Edwin Higbee
Leo Stewart

Eddie stated that Dr. Deacon from UNLV had taken fish and culture samples and found a high Nitrate and Ammonia content affecting fish from feces left from the cattle. He expressed a need to keep the livestock out. Eddie stated he had talked with Mr. Higbee. Mr. Higbee said to go ahead and construct a fence and he in turn would dig a well for his livestock alleviating the watering problem for his livestock. Eddie said that Mr. Stewart (Pahranagat East Permittee) had expressed concern for water for his livestock. Eddie told Mr. Stewart that BLM would work with him to find a solution.

Mr. John Hunt from Hunt's Ash Springs stated that the BLM fence at this point looks like it is part of his property and its causing problems. Eddie stated eventually there will be a permanent sturdy fence constructed with interpretive signs. Curtis Tucker stated before any decisions are made a survey needs to be done to determine if the existing fence is on Public or Federal Land. If on private land it will be Mr. Hunts responsibility to maintain if its on Federal land it is BLMs responsibility.

Mrs. Brundy also expressed a concern that a sign should be put up or there should be someone patrolling the fence. Eddie stated that patrolling would not be feasible. Curt Tucker said we will work together to reach a solution.

Tim Murphy stated the fence construction was a 2 Phase operation:

- Phase 1: a. To mitigate livestock concerns (Continue grazing)
- b. T & E Concerns
- Phase 2: Work on Mr. Hunts concerns.

Kelton asked if there were any further concerns. Mr. Hunt had a question regarding safety in the area. "Who is responsibility is safety at the BLM sight?" Curt said that was a valid point which needs addressing, "How to manage Public Use and what are the liabilities?" Curt further stated he doesn't want BLM attracting the public and drawing from Mr. Hunts business. Curt suggested he would visit with local law enforcement and show them Federal laws pertinent to safety and law enforcement and will suggest to them that they incorporate the laws into County regulation.

Mr. Hunt asked if the spring site would be set up as day use. Curt said yes.

Kelton asked if the Mill Site was on BLM property. Mr. Weber said yes.

Mr. Weber asked if a pipeline would endanger the T & E fish. Curt replied that the Department of Wildlife may consider it adverse to the T & E fish.

Kelton Hafen asked for decisions and recommendations from the CRMP committee:

Mr. Hunt: Pursue a better fence.

Kelton Hafen: Users and BLM work together and bring any problems to the CRMP committee for discussion.

Ken Lee: Motion to continue cooperation and working together by private groups and BLM.

Motion Carried.

Rick Orr then gave an informative presentation on the T & E Laws.

RIPARIAN POLICY

Tim Murphy reported on Riparian Policy. Tim gave a Riparian background and then listed the Bureau's Riparian Area Management Objective: To maintain, restore or improve riparian areas to achieve a healthy and productive condition for maximum long term benefit.

Tim provided three selected Riparian Policy statements:

1. Achieve Riparian area improvement and maintenance objectives through the management of existing uses wherever feasible.
2. Ensure new and existing planning, recognize the importance of riparian values and initiate management to maintain, restore or improve them.
3. Cooperate with individuals, other Federal agencies, state and local governments to share information, implement management, coordinate activities and provide education on the value, productivity and management of Riparian areas.

Jay Hunt asked how do you define a Riparian Area? Tim said it is a controversial definition. Tim said a common definition is "vegetation that is dependent on free soil water" during some portion of the year. Tim indicated that this is not an established definition and discussed the general aspects of riparian communities.

Kelton Hafen expressed a concern that BLM is getting so concerned about the Riparian Areas that they may stop a pipeline development.

Rick Orr stated an Interagency task force is trying to classify Riparian areas into Non-significant and significant.

Mr. Lee expressed concern with Riparian, grazing and fencing problems at the Toiyabe National Forest. Mrs. Agee said she heard livestock grazing will be eliminated due to the Riparian problems in Toiyabe. Butch Padilla with NDOW intervened and stated the problem in Toiyabe is not over grazing but involves forestry and T & E species.

Tim continued discussing Policy Statements and the idea of fencing as a support to grazing management. He said in the Caliente Resource Areas case, we'll go with grazing management without fencing support whenever possible.

Jay Hunt stated that proper grazing management will actually enhance Riparian areas. Tim agreed.

Tim talked about Policy direction and cooperation with all parties.

Tim discussed the key elements of Riparian Management and that the grazing management must be flexible to permit change based on experience so all elements including those not understood at the beginning can be satisfied. Tim expressed that the most important key element is frequent observations and reporting by the livestock operator use supervision (sometimes daily) and the ability to make management adjustments within the sideboards of the LUP, sound rangeland management and the operational constraints of the permittee..

Basically with trust, cooperation and coordination between the BLM, livestock operators and other interests both Riparian management objectives and livestock operational objectives can be met.

Tim showed the group a film from the Green River Resource Area, Wyoming - this film demonstrated how Riparian Management can be accomplished through flexible grazing management with coordination between wildlife, BLM, livestock operators and public land users.

Mr. Padilla commented that there are other western states who are implementing Riparian Management according to mandates and there are a lot of changes happening, some good, some not so good.

Tim suggested we commit to long term cooperation with all parties towards Riparian Management.

Tim gave the group a hand out showing current management categories (MIC). Riparian categories and the allotments directed by the MFP for AMP development (RM1.6).

One allotment under RM 1.6 for AMP development is the Cottonwood allotment.

Cottonwood allotment permittees are Ole Olsen and Hank Rice, (not in attendance).

Tim said a Riparian concern in Cottonwood is the Railroad involvement, and another concern is the wildhorse and cattle use in Ash and Pine creek.

- Recommendations:
1. Put in I Category because of Riparian values.
 2. Develop an activity plan (AMP that would focus LUP direction down to current and expected allotment concerns in the form of AMP objectives and a grazing practice with supporting range improvements that have a reasonable assurance of achieving objectives. The AMP process, to be successful will most likely take some years to formulate, implement and become operational. Some of the permittees are new to the allotment.

Black Hills permittee is Ken Lee. Black Hills is in the "M" Category. Tim listed the concerns in the Black Hills allotment:

1. Mr. Lee's preference for Black Hills is for a year long season of use. Mr. Lee has taken winter use only for the past 12 years. He now desires to take a portion of his use in the summer and the remainder in the winter.
2. Concerns with ORV use.
3. T & E Fish habitat concerns related to ORV, livestock grazing, channelization by the Rail Road and natural watershed.
4. Hunters.

Tim feels the resource concerns can be addressed through the development of an AMP for Black Hills.

Kelton opened discussion for the Cottonwood allotment.

Kraig Beckstrand, NDOW, asked about the operators. Tim said Hank Rice desires to transfer his grazing preference if the opportunity comes about. He feels he's too stretched out. Tim stated that Rice and Olsen are trying to work out a solution.

Mike Neff, Range Conservationist for this allotment, stated that one Riparian concern is cattle trailing on the creek during spring and summer. Tim said that the calf crop is also a concern.

Eddie said he had talked with the permittees and they had suggested putting water on the top keeping the cattle there and preventing trailing.

Tim brought up the question of fencing and Mike Neff said he felt fencing will not work. Tim said an AMP effort worked out over time could address and achieve resource and livestock objectives.

Discussion was opened for the Black Hills Allotment.

Ken Lee, permittee for Black Hills allotment said he has been working with BLM and will continue to do so.

Recommendations by the CRMP Committee:

Kraig Beckstrand recommended to go ahead with the AMP procedure and change the Cottonwood Allotment management Category from "C" to "I".

Motion was seconded by Ken Lee.

Comment by Rick Orr: Rick stated that the Cottonwood Creek area is a primary area for a WSA (located in the Clover Mountain WSA) and it may be difficult to develop an AMP because of the WSA potential.

Motion for AMP and category change for the Cottonwood allotment and AMP for the Black Hills allotment was passed by CRMP committee.

ALLOTMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN STATUS

Presentation was then made by Jay Hunt, Permittee for Cliff Springs and Springs.

Jay stated he has real problems with these two allotments and would like AMP developed. Jay listed the two major problems:

1. The feed has deteriorated
2. Not enough water, has had to spread his cattle around

Jay said his goal is to use the pastures when needed without excessive use the summer. He would like to use the area for all the winter and spring.

Kelton commented that there is one permittee for both allotments and it may cause problems to develop one AMP for both allotments if the owner ever wants to sell one allotment.

Jay stated he felt both allotments could be combined into one AMP without any problems.

Mrs. Agee asked about the wild horse problem on these allotments.

Kraig Beckstrand asked what the wild horse numbers were for the allotments.

Terry Smith said there may be 95 for the Delamar area.

Curt Tucker stated that BLM is working up a contract to remove some of the wild horses based on data and census.

Kraig Beckstrand asked if a removal would really help this area.

Curt replied he's not sure.

Jay Hunt said he feels it will help the area as he states there are too many horses.

Discussion continued between the parties mentioned and the National Mustang Association. It was suggested by Mr. Hunt that the BLM and NMA take a census of wild horses so there would be no discrepancy. (Attach Census Data to minutes for Wild Horses and send to CRMP committee)

Ken Lee motioned to include Cliff and Oak Springs in an AMP. Kraig Beckstrand seconded the motion. Motion carried to combine both allotments in 1 AMP.

ALLOTMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN STATUS
CONTINUED

The next presentation was made by Terry Smith regarding AMP's for Crossroads and Sand Hollow allotments.

Terry gave a description of Crossroads describing sections and pastures. Permittees: Kelton Hafen, Eldon Hafen, Gubler Trust

- 2 key areas were:
1. Crested Wheat
 2. Native pinyon/juniper

Terry stated the trend on the allotment is static. The precipitation is above 31% average and that is good.

Terry stated the AMP direction is to document existing management and grazing practices. Stated that current operation is successful for the operators and meeting vegetative resource goals.

The AMP goals are as following:

1. Provide sufficient forage to maintain active preference of 689 AUM's.
2. Provide sufficient forage for 162 AUM's for deer use.
3. Maintain flexibility.

Terry said the areas of concern are wild horse use occurring with the allotment. He said the allotment is not within a herd management area. This summer, 1988, wild horses will be removed from areas not designated as herd management areas with Caliente Resource Area. Another area of concern is the Railroad right-of-way fence removed or down in areas along the eastern boundary of the Crossroads allotment.

Kelton opened discussion for comments and questions.

Ken Lee asked Kelton Hafen if he wants an AMP. Kelton replied yes.

Kraig Beckstrand asked if the water was Kelton's.

Kelton Hafen replied the water is in litigation. Kelton stated that if 1/2 mile of pipe was put in from Joy's there would be plenty of water.

Kraig Beckstrand asked if the Railroad was pursuing building a right-of-way fence.

Ken Lee replied that the fence is not one of the railroad priorities because they're short of man power.

Rick Orr stated that it is stipulated in the railroad grant that the railroad is to fence and maintain the right-of-way fences. Rick said the railroad feels that its not cost effective to fence, they would rather pay the penalties.

Terry Smith discussed the Sand Hollow allotment next. Terry gave a brief description, the permittees are the same as Crossroads.

Terry recommended that in the AIE, it state that BLM work more closely with the permittees to come up with a better Use map.

Terry said the west central area of the allotment is not getting use because of the lack of water.

Terry said in key area 1 there is an increase in Cheat grass and the allotment is in a static situation with the precipitation normal.

Terry said the AMP direction is to develop a grazing practice which will adequately meet the needs of livestock and desert tortoise.

1. Control distribution of livestock by controlling access to water
2. Develop desired plant community, which will meet the needs of both desert tortoises, and livestock through coordination and consultation with permittees and resource specialists.

The concerns for the allotments are as follows:

1. Desert tortoise/livestock, perennial/annual forage.
2. Beacon allotment - sheep use area, northwest portion of Sand Hollow allotment. Incorporate Beacon into Sand Hollow or leave as 2 separate allotments.

Terry stated the goals for the AMP:

1. Maintain or improve forage base which will sustain or improve existing desert tortoise population.
2. Maintain current active preference of 2,430 AUm's for cattle.

Terry said the grazing practices would be a rotation of livestock through control of waters.

Terry said range improvements would enhance management practices and better distribution by controlling access to water.

- a. extend two pipelines adding one additional trough to each.

Discussion was opened.

Kelton Hafen said there is no need for fencing because of the natural livestock rotation. Control livestock distribution by controlling the water. Need an AMP to document rotation and develop water control.

Terry said we need to identify desired plant community needs for Desert Tortoise.

Terry suggested that BLM get together with all operators of Sand Hollow, Gourd Spring and Beacon allotments and see how the feeling is about usage during sheep trailing due to conflicts with bighorn sheep.

Tim Murphy suggested Beacon allotment become a part of Sand Hollow allotment but we must get the Sheep men's opinion as Beacon is a Sheep usage allotment.

Tim also expressed a concern regarding the forage for feed for the tortoise, RE: the desired plant communities. He said there may be a need for more diversity.

Jay Hunt asked "Was the tortoise population, up, down, or static?"

Eddie Guerrero said he didn't know. There are no previous data to allow comparison.

Tim Murphy said that livestock and tortoise habitat can be managed together.

Recommendations:

Ken Lee motioned to make an AMP for the allotments.

Kraig Beckstrand seconded the motion, the motion was carried.

ALLOTMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN STATUS
CONTINUED

Mike Neff presented the Pahroc/Six Mile Allotments.

Permittee: Stewart Bros.

Mike gave a brief history of the Pahroc allotment. Mike said there are 2 key areas maybe 3 that are in need of improvement. Mike said he thinks Ricegrass and Winterfat are trying to come back under present management.

Mike said the goals in the AMP would be to maintain both species and improve the Ricegrass.

Goals also to be included in the forthcoming combined Pahroc/Six Mile AMP are as follows:

1. Maintain the current Active Preference of 4,783 AUMs on the Pahroc allotment.
2. Maintain cattle as the class of livestock authorized on the Pahroc allotment (due to the possible conflict between desert bighorn sheep and domestic sheep).
3. Maintain the habitat capable of supporting antelope, quail and chukar in the Pagroc allotment.
4. Whenever possible, provide water yearlong for wildlife while conserving water sources and riparian areas on the pahroc allotment.

Mike listed the recommendations for the Pahroc AMP and they are as follows:

1. Work closely with the permittee and NDOW for continued development of the AMP.
2. Develop key areas in close coordination with the Bureau Wildlife Biologist, permittee and NDOW.
3. Improve frequency studies.
4. Include above listed goals.
5. Monitor antelope and bighorn sheep habitat to determine carrying capacity for these animals.
6. Install key areas in North Pahrocs to determine impacts of the increasing deer herd.
7. Protect allotment precipitation gauges.
8. Provide and allow for yearly training in regards to utilization levels to lessen variability and personal bias.

ALLOTMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN STATUS
CONTINUED

Jack Thiel was not prepared for the Ely Springs Cattle presentation and requested he be allowed to present it at a later date.

FIRE MANAGEMENT PLANNING

Cory Bodman reported the the Fire Management Planning. Cory t. purpose of the plan. Cory stated that the Panaca Summit I reseeded. Stated he would like to put in 3 check dams for cont Cory stated with the assistance of the Forestry Department he c keep the project below \$9,000.00.

Ken Lee asked about the wild horses in the area of the Wouldn't they have an effect on the seeding and if so shouldn't fenced?

Cory replied no. Phil Seegmiller, BLM WH&B specialist said horses or cows in the area and there is no need for fences.

Cory continued stating the seed planted was grasses and forbes.

Mr. Padilla, NDOW suggested they may be able to help purchase wildlife for reseeding in the future if BLM can't get seed. Mr. this proposal is still in the works, it has been presented Director.

Jay Hunt asked what BLM's position on Fire Suppression is?

Curt Tucker, BLM Area Manager, stated that right now BLM respond to extinguish the fire. At a later date, the fire program will be as a tool under a managed program if the fire is in the area such.

Kraig Beckstrand asked if each District works on their own plan.

Curt: Yes.

Bruce Cox said he thought that CRMP decided at the last meeting Springs allotment, heavy with pinyon/juniper growth, would be a Let

Curt replied stating this is one thing that could be accomplished. Fire Management Plan.

Kraig Beckstrand said there are key areas that should not be burned would be happy to give in put on these areas. Mr. Pedilla stated and BLM should work together for the Let Burn Fire Management Plan.

Ken Lee stated that he felt the burns do a lot of good and feel spends too much money putting the fires out. Talked about Co management.

Curt Tucker agreed with Ken on Cost Effectiveness. There has to be a better accounting of the fire monies.

Tim Murphy stated that Curt is approaching the prescribed burning exactly the way it should be done and the way it will work but that the plan will take time.

Rick Orr said the SCS has an ongoing Plant Materials program. 1987 a plan was initiated for Seed Trial Plot at 6 Mile. The NDF crew constructed the fence and the permittee was also involved. Rick said the reasoning for the trial plots was because the precipitation levels were low in the site and the sites are range sites. He said they will select, evaluate and release the best plants for this area. The project is to run 10-15 years. Their ultimate goal is to find a species that will adapt to an environment where the precipitation is low. This species would be a good source of forage for reseeding areas which may be burned.

WOODLAND PRODUCT SALES

LARRY JOHNSTON, FORESTER
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

Larry reported on the woodland product sales for the Caliente Resource. Larry talked about 3 areas in the woodland program:

1. Las Vegas Free Use Policy
2. Use of the NDF Honor Camp Inmates
3. Christmas Tree Sale Production this year

Larry gave a brief description and explanation of the Free Use Policy.

Larry then showed a film to explain the NDF Honor Camp Inmate. Emphasized that the wood cut by the NDF Crew is divided into large and small lots for the large and small bidders for easier sales and to make the fairer.

Ken Lee commented that he appreciates the cuts by the NDF crew that on his allotment but wished the slash would be spread and left for seedling.

Larry stated he is now having all commercial cutters leave the slash instead of stacking.

Kraig Beckstrand asked if the chipping had been analyzed?

Bill Roach, Nevada Dept. of Forestry Supervisor, stated that they need years to get the results from chipping analysis.

Eddie Guerrero said the chipping and slash helps protect the seedling livestock.

Curt Tucker, BLM, stated that a big objection to slash is that it is hazardous.

Mr. Padilla stated that slash is good in that it also provides habitat for a lot of non game animals.

Kraig Beckstrand asked if this District is the only District utilizing slash crews?

Bill Roach replied yes and no. He said there is cutting in Elko by slash crews for Senior Citizens and other organizations but commercial slash none. This District is the only one that is using NDF crews to cut slash for commercial bid sales.

Curt Tucker requested comments on the NDF program from the CRMP Committee as there is one commercial cutter who is unhappy because the cutter states it is creating problems with unfair competition for his business.

CHRISTMAS TREE SALES.

Larry Johnston reported that the Christmas Tree sales will be reduced to 5,000 trees for 1988. This figure will provide for a sustained yield harvest. Larry said the Christmas Trees will go on sale October 1st and end November 15th.

Larry stated that his priority for this year is Christmas Trees. He would like to have the NDF crew remove the overstory so the Christmas Trees will have better growth.

Ken Lee stated he agreed with Larry about selective cutting so the Christmas Trees will grow.

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

John Ballow said that Grapevine and Boulder allotments have been in TNR and he would like permanent AUM's as had been promised to him.

Curt Tucker replied that Grapevine and Boulder allotments have been caught in the tortoise issue, and that the issue must be addressed. Curt explained the BLM concerns for wildlife and tortoise and said when the studies are done then the AUM's could be adjusted according to the results of the studies.

Kelton Hafen discussed the monitoring process of allotment and wildlife. He stated the he and Ken Lee had talked to Mr. Spang, Nevada BLM Director, and said Spang had told them they could have TNR for 3 years, or until the monitoring by the Caliente Resource Area was done for wildlife.

Curt Tucker replied that if the forage is there they should apply for the TNR.

Mrs. Agee asked if wildlife monitoring is going on for all of the allotments.

Eddie Guerrero, BLM Wildlife Biologist, replied no, not at present but the objective is to eventually monitor allotments on a priority basis as funding permits.

CLOSING

Kelton Closed the meeting stating he would like to see more different organizations. CRMP has been in effect for continue. Kelton stated that approximately 1/2 of the represented today.

Kelton suggested that CRMP remain as is at present and ask different organizations and groups and and ask them if they to participate in the CRMP meetings and if so to select attend.

Kelton closed the meeting at 4:13.

CALIFORNIA RESOURCE AREA
WILD HORSE AND BURRO HERD INFORMATION
AS OF APRIL 21, 1988

	HERD AREA NAME	CODE	AREA (ACRES)		PLANNING STATUS		ANL	LAST CENSUS DATE	LATEST CENSUS
			BCH	PVT	TMA	HNAP			
1	APPLEWHITE	NV-518	27,814		YES	NO	15	1981	15
2	BLUE NOSE PEAK	NV-514	86,695		YES	NO	10	1988	14
3	CLOVER CREEK	NV-517	33,653		YES	NO	9	1988	26
4	CLOVER MOUNTAIN	NV-516	175,717		YES	NO	55	1988	84
5	DEER LODGE CANYON	NV-521	106,607		YES	NO	10	1982	10
6	DELANAR	NV-515	190,234	1,336	YES	YES	95	1987	67
7	HIGHLAND PEAK	NV-522	137,776	171,849	YES	YES	50	1984	49
8	LITTLE MOUNTAIN	NV-519	54,148		YES	YES	54	1983	54
9	NEVADA VALLEY MOUNTAINS	NV-513	94,966		YES	NO	33	1981	33
10	HILLER FLAT	NV-520	90,901	280	YES	YES	50	1988	71
11	HORN MOUNTAINS	NV-512	175,423		YES	NO	27	1982	27
12	NEVADA WILD HORSE RANGE	NV-524	394,500		YES	YES	2,000	1986	4,178
13	RATTLESNAKE	NV-523	754,661		YES	NO	25	1982	25
TOTALS			2,323,095	3,465			2,433		4,653

CALIFORNIA RESOURCE AREA
WILD HORSE AND BURRO HERD CENSUS INFORMATION
AS OF APRIL 21, 1988

	HERD AREA NAME	CODE	WILD HORSE CENSUS DATA AND THE YEAR CENSUS COMPLETED												
			1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
1	APPLEWHITE	NV-518	25	27	21		13		15						
2	BLUE NOSE PEAK	NV-514	27		29		20		10						14
3	CLOVER CREEK	NV-517	39				47		1	9					26
4	CLOVER MOUNTAIN	NV-516	96	100	122		130		44	55					84
5	DEER LODGE CANYON	NV-521	32	36	50		25			10					
6	DELANAR	NV-515	89	135	77		168		95	56			94		67
7	HIGHLAND PEAK	NV-522	19	20			24			37		49			
8	LITTLE MOUNTAIN	NV-519	102	86			89		18						
9	NEVADA VALLEY MOUNTAINS	NV-513	40	29			32		33		54				
10	HILLER FLAT	NV-520	32	84			132			42	49			32	71
11	HORN MOUNTAINS	NV-512	20	12			46			27					
12	NEVADA WILD HORSE RANGE	NV-524	800			1,064	1,300	3,122		4,405	4,860	4,890	5,842	4,178	
13	RATTLESNAKE	NV-523	6	2	39		32			25					