

## JUL 2 3 RECD

# United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

Ely District Office Star Route 5, Box 1 Ely, Nevada 89301



IN REPLY REFER TO: 4130 (NV-046)

JUL 18 1990

CERTIFIED MAIL NO. P 569 358 972 Return Receipt Requested

Warren P. Robison Box 732 East Ely, Nevada 89315

> NOTICE OF FINAL MULTIPLE USE DECISION FOR THE SAMPSON CREEK ALLOTMENT

#### BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

The Management Framework Plan and the Record of Decision for the Schell Grazing Environmental Impact Statement were issued in June and July of 1983, respectively. These documents guide the management of public lands within the Sampson Creek Allotment. The Schell Resource Area Record of Decision dated July 1983 states in pertinent part:

"When adequate monitoring data becomes available adjustments to the grazing capacity will be made that are compatible with the multiple use objectives...

Implementation of the range management program will take place through monitoring and consultation and coordination with all interests concerned with the management of resources in a given local area; landowners, land management agencies, wildlife groups, wild horse groups, conservation organizations, etc. Grazing adjustments, if required, will be based upon reliable vegetation monitoring studies, consultation and coordination, baseline inventory, or a combination of these...

Prior to initiating grazing adjustments, the Bureau, within the guidance of the Management Framework Plan and consultation and coordination, will consider the specific management objectives for an allotment and other resource values (e.g., riparian habitat, water quality, wildlife, recreation, wild horses and livestock) to be evaluated in determining progress in meeting these objectives. Changes in the resource values may warrant a modification of the scheduled adjustments and thus indicate the intensity and types of monitoring that will be required in each allotment..."

Monitoring studies were initially established in 1981 and have been conducted since that time. In accordance with Bureau policy and regulations, this data has been analyzed and evaluated in order to determine progress in meeting management objectives for the Sampson Creek Allotment. Input was received from the permittee, a permittee on an adjacent allotment, two livestock interest groups, two wildlife agencies, three environmental interest groups, and a wild horse group.

See Appendices I, II, and III for the management objectives for livestock, wild horses, wildlife, and riparian areas on the allotment. These objectives are in conformance with and formulated to accomplish the Schell Land Use Plan multiple use objectives as they relate to all grazing use on the Sampson Creek Allotment.

I have reconsidered the proposed multiple use decision in response to the protests received and based upon this review of the reasons for protest and in light of other information pertinent to the case, the following modifications were made to the proposed decision:

Modify the time frames for implementation of the designated management actions.

Modify the terms and conditions of the grazing permit.

THEREFORE, BASED UPON THE EVALUATION OF MONITORING DATA FOR THE SAMPSON CREEK ALLOTMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS FROM DISTRICT STAFF, INPUT RECEIVED THROUGH CONSULTATION, COOPERATION, AND COORDINATION WITH THE PERMITTEE AND PUBLIC INTEREST GROUPS, AND CONSIDERATION OF PROTESTS TO THE PROPOSED DECISION, THE FINAL DECISION IS AS FOLLOWS:

The analysis of monitoring data and subsequent additional monitoring and analysis has revealed that the multiple use objectives for the Sampson Creek Allotment are not being met, and in fact, significant resource deterioration is taking place within a portion of the allotment. Further deterioration of the ecological status of the upper mountain area will occur, without immediate adjustments to both the livestock and wild horse grazing use. From the analysis of livestock and wild horse use areas and their spatial overlap, it was determined that wild horses and livestock have equally contributed to the resource deterioration within the allotment. Analysis shows that the existing management of wildlife does not contribute to the failure in meeting these multiple use objectives or the significant resource deterioration. Therefore, this decision changes livestock and wild horse grazing use, but does not change wildlife use.

Due to the severity of the resource deterioration to the area's natural ecological balance; this decision is placed in full force and effect in accordance with 43 CFR 4160.3(c).

#### LIVESTOCK MANAGEMENT DECISION

In accordance with 43 CFR 4110.3 and 4110.3-2(b) and (c) and 4130.6-1(a), the current authorized livestock active use shall be reduced by 265 AUMs.

#### FROM:

			AU	Ms
Kind	Period of Use	e %PL	Active	Susp.
Sheep	05/01 to 07/3	31 100	1,592	0

TO:

				AU	Ms
Effective	Kind	Period of Use	& PL	Active	Susp.
August 1, 1990	Sheep	05/01 to 09/30	100	1,415	177
March 1, 1992	Sheep	05/01 to 09/30	100	1,327	265

(Note: From 07/16 to 07/31, the sheep will be on private land for separating and working prior to being moved up to the summer range.)

This adjustment will be implemented under Title 43 CFR 4160.3(c). This reflects an immediate implementation to the third year adjustment identified in the Proposed Multiple Use Decision for the Sampson Creek Allotment issued on April 18, 1990.

Livestock use will be authorized by established use areas (Refer to Map 1) not to exceed carrying capacity as determined through the continued monitoring procedures.

Authorized livestock use effective August 1, 1990 is as follows:

						AU	Ms
Use Area		No.	Kind	Period of Use	%PL	Active	Susp.
Benchland	Area	2,372	Sheep	05/01 to 07/1	5 100	1,201	151
Upper Mtn	Area	533	Sheep	08/01 to 09/30	0 100	214	
					Total	= 1,415	177

Authorized livestock use effective March 1, 1992 is as follows:

								AU	Ms
Use Area	ı	No.	Kind	Period	0	f Use	%PL	Active	Susp.
Benchlar	nd Area	2,223	Sheep	05/01	to	07/15	100	1,126	226
Upper Mt	n Area	501	Sheep	08/01	to	09/30	100	201	39
							Total	= 1,327	265

In accordance with 43 CFR 4130.6-2, the following terms and conditions are hereby made a part of the grazing permit on the Sampson Creek Allotment effective August 1, 1990:

No livestock grazing will be allowed on the Bottomland Area unless the season of use is changed to provide for winter grazing.

A deferred-rotation grazing system is in effect for the Benchland Area. Sheep use will be rotated starting from the north end of the area and ending at the south end in even calendar years. And in odd years the order is reversed.

Designated water haul sites in the Benchland Area are as follows:

- T. 24 N., R. 66 E., Sec. 8, NW1/4NW1/4
- T. 24 N., R. 66 E., Sec. 16, SE1/4NW1/4
- T. 24 N., R. 66 E., Sec. 30, NW1/4NW1/4

A deferred-rotation grazing system is in effect for the Upper Mountain Area. Sheep use will be rotated starting from the north end of the area and ending at the south end in even calendar years. And in odd years the order is reversed.

In accordance with 43 CFR 4130.6-2, herding of sheep is required when they are authorized on the allotment.

In accordance with 43 CFR 4130.6-2(d), actual use information for each pasture and/or use area will be submitted within 15 days of completing grazing use as specified on the grazing permit and grazing licenses.

Prior to implementing adjustments scheduled for March 1, 1992 existing and future monitoring data will be evaluated to determine if the indicated adjustments are necessary and/or if any additional modifications in existing management will be necessary.

RATIONALE: The analysis and evaluation of available monitoring data indicates that the current stocking rate and management practices must be modified to meet the multiple use management objectives for the Sampson Creek Allotment as identified in Appendices I, II, and III. The data indicates that 1,327 AUMs are available for livestock, and that active preference is 265 AUMs in excess of the livestock carrying capacity. This reduction and increased intensity of management will provide needed rest during critical spring growth, increased productivity, and initiate the accomplishment of the multiple use objectives. These actions are required to prevent further deterioration of the rangeland and to avoid irreparable damage to the natural ecological balance of the area's vegetative resources.

AUTHORITY: The authority for this decision is contained in Title  $\overline{43}$  of the Code of Federal Regulations, which states in pertinent parts:

4100.0-8: "The authorized officer shall manage livestock grazing on public lands under the principle of multiple use and sustained yield, and in accordance with applicable land use plans. Land use plans shall establish allowable resource uses (either singly or in combination), related levels of production or use to be maintained, areas of use and resource condition goals and objectives to be obtained. The plans also set forth program constraints and general management practices needed to achieve management objectives. Livestock grazing activities and management actions approved by the authorized officer shall be in conformance with the land use plan as defined at 43 CFR 1601.0-5(b)."

4110.3: "The authorized officer shall periodically review the grazing preference specified in a grazing permit or grazing lease and may make changes in the grazing preference status. These changes shall be supported by monitoring, as evidenced by rangeland studies conducted over time, unless the change is either specified in an applicable land use plan or necessary to manage, maintain or improve rangeland productivity."

4110.3-2(b): "When monitoring shows active use is causing an unacceptable level or pattern of utilization or exceeds the livestock carrying capacity as determined through monitoring, the authorized officer shall reduce active use if necessary to maintain or improve rangeland productivity..."

4110.3-2(c): "Where active use is reduced it shall be held in suspension ..."

4130.6: "Livestock grazing permits and leases shall contain terms and conditions necessary to achieve the management objectives for the public lands and other lands under Bureau of Land Management administration."

4130.6-1(a): "The authorized officer shall specify the kind and number of livestock, the period(s) of use, the allotment(s) to be used, and the amount of use, in animal unit months, for every grazing permit or lease. The authorized livestock grazing use shall not exceed the livestock carrying capacity as determined through monitoring and adjusted as necessary under 4110.3, 4110.3-1 and 4110.3-2."

4130.6-2: "The authorized officer may specify in grazing permits and leases other terms and conditions which will assist in achieving management objectives, provide for proper range management or assist in the orderly administration of the public rangelands..."

4160.3(c): "...The authorized officer may place the final decision in full force and effect in an emergency to stop resource deterioration. Full force and effect decisions shall take effect on the date specified, regardless of appeal."

APPEAL: If you wish to appeal the livestock management portion of this decision for the purpose of a hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, in accordance with 43 CFR 4.470, you are allowed thirty (30) days from receipt of this notice to file such an appeal with the Schell Resource Area Manager, Star Route 5 Box 1, Ely, Nevada 89301. The appeal should state the reasons, clearly and concisely, why you think the final decision is in error.

#### WILD HORSE AND BURRO MANAGEMENT DECISION

It has been determined through monitoring that a thriving natural ecological balance will be obtained by maintaining wild horse numbers at an appropriate management level of 25 animals for that portion of the Antelope Herd Management Area which occurs in the Sampson Creek Allotment.

In accordance with 43 CFR 4700.0-6(a), wild horse use on the Sampson Creek Allotment shall be managed at 25 animals.

In accordance with 43 CFR 4720.1, all wild horses in excess of the appropriate management level of 25 will be removed.

An immediate action to reduce wild horse numbers on that portion of the Antelope HMA within the Sampson Creek Allotment is required to prevent the acceleration of downward trend in ecological status. Further deterioration of the ecological balance of the vegetative resources will occur if the downward trend in ecological status continues. A gather of excess wild horses from the HMA is scheduled to begin on September 1, 1990.

The Antelope Wild Horse Herd Management Area Plan is hereby revised to reflect the appropriate management level for wild horses in the Sampson Creek Allotment.

RATIONALE: The analysis and evaluation of available monitoring data indicates that management actions for wild horses must be modified to meet multiple use management objectives on the Sampson Creek Allotment as identified in Appendices I, II, and III. The data indicate that there are 300 AUMs available for wild horse use. The removal of excess wild horses is necessary to establish and maintain a thriving natural ecological balance and prevent continued deterioration of the rangeland resources. Significant resource deterioration from over-utilization of key species is taking place within the allotment.

The free-roaming nature of the wild horses prevents management actions which control areas of use or seasons of use and therefore the primary management action is an immediate reduction in numbers to stop resource deterioration. The necessity for an immediate removal of the wild horses from within these three allotments of the HMA (Tippett, Chin Creek, and Sampson Creek) is indicated from past observations, census, gathers, and the analysis of monitoring data over the period of this evaluation.

The evaluations of Sampson Creek, Tippett, and Chin Creek Allotments within the Antelope HMA have attributed significant resource deterioration to wild horses solely or in combination with livestock grazing. In those areas where wild horse use has been concentrated (i.e., portions of the Chin Creek Allotment) the resource deterioration has accelerated to the point of irreparable damage to the ecological status of major plant communities. The decision to implement the wild horse reductions immediately, considered the Bureau's objective to maintain the free-roaming nature of wild horses and the fact that this free-roaming nature allows them to redistribute naturally to more desireable habitat types. However, this redistribution to more favorable habitat has caused over-populations in these areas in the past, which has caused significant resource deterioration within portions of the If wild horses are removed from only those areas where there is an over-population (such as areas where water distribution is good) wild horses from other areas would rapidly move into this unoccupied habitat and resource deterioration would continue. Therefore, it is critical to remove horses from all three allotments; Tippett, Chin Creek and Sampson Creek.

AUTHORITY: The authority for this decision is contained in Sec. 3(a) and (b) of the Wild Free-Roaming Horse and Burro Act (P.L. 92-195) as amended and in Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations, which states in pertinent parts:

4700.0-6(a): "Wild horses and burros shall be managed as self-sustaining populations of healthy animals in balance with other uses and the productive capacity of their habitat."

4710.4: "Management of wild horses and burros shall be undertaken with the objective of limiting the animals' distribution to herd areas. Management shall be at the minimum level necessary to attain the objectives identified in approved land use plans and herd management area plans."

4720.1: "Upon examination of current information and a determination by the authorized officer that an excess of wild horses or burros exists, the authorized officer shall remove the excess animals immediately..."

4160.3(c): "...The authorized officer may place the final decision in full force and effect in an emergency to stop resource deterioration. Full force and effect decisions shall take effect on the date specified, regardless of an appeal."

#### APPEAL: 43 CFR 4770.3 states in part:

"Any person who is adversely affected by a decision of the authorized officer in the administration of these regulations may file an appeal in accordance with 43 CFR 4.4 within 30 days of receipt of the written decision."

Should you wish to appeal this decision as it pertains to wild horses for the purpose of an administrative review by the Interior Board of Land Appeals, you are required to file an appeal with the Schell Resource Area Manager, Bureau of Land Management, Star Route 5, Box 1, Ely, Nevada 89301 in accordance with 43 CFR 4.411 and 43 CFR 4.412. An appeal should specify the reasons, clearly and concisely, as to why you think the decision is in error.

Gerald M. Smith, Manager

Schell Resource Area

	Cert	ifie	ed Ma	ail 1	No.
cc:	Natural Resources Defense Council	(P	569	358	973)
	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	(P	569	358	974)
	Nevada Department of Wildlife, Region II	(P	569	358	975)
	Animal Protection Institute of America	(P	569	358	976)
	Wild Horse Organized Assistance	(P	569	358	977)
	Commission for the Preservation of Wild Horses	(P	569	358	978)
	Resource Concepts, Inc.	(P	569	358	979)
	Nevada Cattlemen's Association	(P	569	358	980)
	Nevada State Grazing Board, N-4	(P	569	358	981)
	Nevada Outdoor Recreation Association	(P	569	358	982)
	Sierra Club, Toiyabe Chapter	(P	569	358	983)
	Marvel & Hansen, Attorneys at Law	(P	569	358	984)

### APPENDIX I: Land Use Plan/Activity Plan Objectives

#### A. Land Use Plan/ Rangeland Program Summary Objectives

#### Livestock

- a. The short term objective will be accomplished through managing the allowable use level (AUL) by season of use to improve or maintain the desired vegetative community.
- b. The long term objective is to improve those acres in poor or fair livestock forage condition and maintain all acres presently in good livestock forage condition by managing for those seral stages which optimize livestock forage production.

#### 2. Wild Horses

- a. The short term objective will be accomplished through managing the allowable use level (AUL) by season to improve or maintain the desired vegetative community.
- b. The long term objective is to manage for the most appropriate seral stage to provide the desired quantity, quality, variety, and density of forage in order to meet the requirements of the wild horses.

#### 3. Mule Deer

- a. The short term objective is to limit yearlong use on key species to 40 percent for perennial grasses, grass-like plants, and forbs and to 35 percent of shrubs if the mule deer range is in poor habitat condition. If the range is in fair condition or better, the objective is to limit yearlong use on key species to 55 percent for perennial grasses, grass-like plants, and forbs and to 45 percent for shrubs.
- b. The long term objective is to maintain mule deer range in at least fair habitat condition by providing diversity of forage species.

#### 4. Pronghorn Antelope

a. The short term objective is to limit use on key species listed for pronghorn antelope to 55 percent for perennial grasses, grass-like plants, and forbs; and to 45 percent for shrubs yearlong.

The long term objective is to maintain antelope b. range in at least fair habitat condition by providing appropriate vegetation quantity and quality. 5. Sage Grouse The short term objective is to manage the AUL by a. season of use to improve or maintain the desired vegetative community. The long term objective is to manage big sagebrush b. sites within two miles of active strutting grounds for late mid seral stage to the potential natural community (PNC) with at least 30 percent shrubs. 6. Ferruginous Hawk The short term objective is to limit use on a. winterfat near occupied ferruginous hawk nests to 45 percent yearlong. The long term objectives are to manage winterfat b. stands (Silty Range Sites) near occupied ferruginous hawk nests in mid to late seral stage and to maintain integrity of existing pinyon-juniper "stringers near winterfat stands". Riparian Areas The short term objective is to limit use on wet a. meadows and stream riparian areas in less than good condition to 30 percent for grass and grass-like species by all animals yearlong and to limit use on all other wet meadows and stream riparian areas to 50 percent for grass and grass-like species by all animals yearlong. b. The long term objectives are to manage all wet meadows for late seral stage (80-85 percent grass and grass-like plants, 10-15 percent forbs, and 5 percent shrubs). B. Activity Plan Objectives Antelope Wild Horse Herd Management Area Plan 1. The short term objective will be accomplished through managing the AUL by season of use to improve or maintain the desired vegetative community. 10 of 15

The long term objectives are to manage for the most b. appropriate seral stage to provide desired quantity, quality, variety, and density of forage in order to meet the requirements of the wild horses and other foraging animals and to improve distribution and provide water yearlong for wild horses throughout the herd management area. Antelope Range Habitat Management Plan The short term objective will be accomplished a. through managing the AUL by season of use to improve or maintain the desired vegetative community. The long term objectives are: b. Manage for the most appropriate seral stages to provide desired quantity, quality, variety and density of forage in order to meet the requirements of the key foraging animals. Provide nesting, brooding and wintering habitat for upland game species. Minimize the impacts of livestock grazing on sage grouse strutting/nesting grounds. Protect raptor nesting habitat and provide and protect habitat for raptor prey species. Manage riparian areas for late seral stage or appropriate stage for a specific use. Specific resource objectives for key management areas identify key forage species, the existing density and production, and the levels of density and production to manage for. 11 of 15

APPENDIX II: Site Specific Allotment Objectives

ALLOTMENT: Sampson Creek (Livestock & Wild Horses)

			- 1 - 2 - m	PRESENT SI	TUATION	LONG	LONG TERM OBJECTIVE			SHORT TERM OBJECTIVE		
STUDY # SCR1	KEY AREA     LOCATION     Becky	ECOLOGICAL SITE # 028BY037NV	KEY   SPECIES   AGSP	KEY SPP   % COMP BY   WEIGHT	SERAL STAGE (% OF PNC)	MAINTAIN   OR   IMPROVE   Maintain	KEY SPP % COMP BY WEIGHT 1 2	SERAL   STAGE   (% OF PNC)	ALLOWABLE USE LEVEL 50%	SEASON OF USE Summer	MET OR NOT MET	   RATIONALE   AUL Exceede
1)	Peak   Bench   Sec. 2,   T24N,R65E		Addr   		00   	Marricarii   		       	30 <i>8</i>     		Not met	1984=75% 
SCR2	W.Spring   Valley   Bench   Sec. 30,   T24N,R66E	028BY011NV	ARARN         	76     	51       	Maintain       	76       	51-52       	45%       	Year-   long   	Met       	AUL Not   Exceeded   
SCR3 2)	Spring   Valley   Bottom   Sec. 32,   T24N,R65E	028BY013NV	EULA	58       	68       	Maintain         	58         	68-72       	45%       	Year-   long     	Not met       	AUL Exceeded   1982=78%   1983=59%   1984=62%   1985=90%   1986=80%   1987=72%

Study area representing livestock and wild horse use.
 Study area representing wild horse use.



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

## ELY FIELD OFFICE

HC 33 Box 33500 Ely, Nevada 89301-9408 http://www.nv/blm.gov/Ely

FAX 775-289-1910 (or Fire FAX 775-289-1930)

If there are message transmission problems phone: (775) 289-1800



Date 12 - 26 - 00	Fax No. 775-849-2391	No. of Pages 4 + Cover_	File Code								
To (Name, Office) CATHY BARCOM 3 From (Name, Office) TO HAN LONG INETTY ELY BLM											
SUBJECT HANK VOGLER	PERMIT LEASE RENEWA	٠.									
L-MAJA-I											
	, ASA, ASAS, ISBN STORES CONTRACTOR OF THE STO	, 4.65,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0									
	A. A. A. A. S.	3.00									
- CMA.											
	A										
	Company Springer for										



**BRISTLECONE PINE** 

OUR DECISIONS ARE BASED ON SOUND SCIENCE, INTEGRITY, FAIRNESS, AND FORESIGHT FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS.

# ACCEPTANCE OF TERMS & CONDITIONS and REQUEST FOR GRAZING PERMIT

I, Hank Vogler of Need More Sheep Company, agree to accept the Terms & Conditions listed below and request that I be offered a Grazing Permit to graze the allotments in which I have a grazing preference.

A	LLOTMENT r Name	LIVES Number	TOCK		ZING JOD End	% PL	Type Use	Permitted Use (AUMs) Suspend Active	
00108	00108 Red Hills		Sheep	11/01	02/28	100	A		1,748
		2,215	Sheep	03/01	03/31	100	A		452
10101	Becky Springs	2,000	Sheep	03/01	04/30	100	A		802
		2,024	Sheep	11/01	02/28	100	A		1,597
10105	Sampson Creek	2,254	Sheep	05/01	07/15	100	A	265	1,126
		397	Sheep	07/16	09/30	100	A		201
10106	Tippett	339	Cattle	03/01	02/28	100	A	5,055	4,068
		2,800	Sheep	04/16	12/15	100	A		4,492
10112	Indian George	2,390	Sheep	03/01	04/15	100	A		723
		2,390	Sheep	10/16	02/28	100	A		2,137

#### Terms and Conditions:

- 1. Livestock numbers identified in the term grazing permit are a function of seasons of use and permitted use for each allot ment. Deviations from those livestock numbers and seasons of use may be authorized on an annual basis where such deviations would not prevent attainment of the Multiple-Use Objectives for the allotment.
- 2. Deviations from specified grazing use dates will be allowed when consistent with Multiple-Use Objectives. Such deviations will require an application and written authorization from the authorized officer prior to grazing use.
- 3. Pursuant to 43 CFR 10.4 (G) the holder of this authorization must notify the authorized officer by telephone, with written confirmation, immediately upon discovery of human remains, funerary objects, sacred objects, or objects of cultural patrimony (as defined at 43 CFR 10.2). Further, pursuant to 43 CFR 10.4 (C) and (D), you must stop activities in the immediate vicinity of the discovery and protect it from your activities for 30 days or until notified to proceed by the authorized officer.

- 5. The authorized officer is requiring that an actual use report (Form 4130-5) be submitted within 15 days after completing your annual grazing use.
- 6. The payment of your grazing fees is due on or before the date specified in the grazing bill. This date is generally the opening date of your allotment. If payment is not received within 15 days of the due date, you will be charged a 'ate fee assessment of \$25 or 10 percent of the grazing bill, whichever is greater, not to exceed \$250. Payment with Visa, MasterCard or American Express is accepted. Failure to make payment within 30 days of the due date may result in trespass action.
- 7. Grazing use will be in accordance with the Northeastern Great Basin Area Standards and Guidelines for grazing administration as developed by the Northeastern Great Basin Resource Advisory Council and approved by the Secretary of the Interior on February 12, 1997. Grazing use will also be in accordance with 43 CFR Sub-part 4180 Fundamentals of Rangeland Health and Standards and Guidelines for Grazing Administration.

#### Additional Terms and Conditions:

In accordance with 43 CFR 4130.3-2, the following terms and conditions will be included in the grazing permit for the Tippett Allotment:

- 8. Grazing use will be in accordance with the Tippett Allotment Management Plan approved December 9, 1992.
- 9. Suspended AUMs of 5,055 shown under the allotment summary for Tippett Allotment include 4,240 AUMs of nonuse for resource conservation/protection purposes until the authorized officer determines through monitoring data that active use may resume. The remaining 815 AUMs are historical suspended use.

In accordance with 43 CFR 4130.3-2, and the Area Manager's decision dated July 18, 1990, the following terms and condit ons will be included in the grazing permit for the Sampson Creek Allotment:

- 10. Suspended AUMs of 255 shown under the allotment summary for Sampson Creek Allotment are historical suspended use.
- 11. No livestock grazing will be allowed on the bottomland use area unless the season of use is changed to provide for winter grazing.
- 12. A deferred-rotation grazing system is in effect for the benchland use area. In even calendar years sheep will be rotated starting from the north end of the use area and end at the south. In odd years, the order is reversed.

- 13. Designated water haul sites in the benchland use area are as follows:
  - T. 24 N., R. 66 E., Sec. 8 NW1/4NW1/4
  - T. 24 N., R. 66 E., Sec. 16 SE1/4 NW1/4
  - T. 24 N., R. 66 E., Sec 30 NW1/4NW1/4
- 14. A deferred-rotation grazing system is in effect for the upper mountain use area. In even calendar years sheep use will be rotated starting from the north end of the use area and ending at the south end. In odd years, the order is reversed.
- 15. Herding of sheep is required when they are authorized on the allotment.
- 16. Base lease property expiration dates are as follows:

Tippett

September 1, 2002

Red Hills

November 1, 2002

Indian George

May 1, 2006

Signed: 🖊

Hank Vogler

Need More Sheep Company

Date:

	The state of the s		*** * *********************************		
**************************************		y		******	1 18 0.00000 1 00000
ALLOTM	ENT NA	ME	CATE	GORY CLASS	
RED				. c	* ;
,	cy sprine				* *
		EK			
TIP				et .	,
		-6E			*
		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			
x ***		w 11.9 MATERIA			1 1 10
	4.54, 4.5.51, 3. 5.000, 4.000, 5.000, 6.0000	MAI S THAN NO THREE RANGES AT THE ST		** *** *****	
COLUMN 1 COLUMN 1	10 March 1 ( 1 ) 1 ( 1				
		the second constants			e acceptance
	*** **** * **** * ********** * * * *		E 5 5 8 8 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3 MAR 9 3 3 5 5 5 7 7 7	*
			1 ( TWW. TARREST		
	2 C				
**************************************				2	
* * ***********************************				e winer en e	
				x + +	*
	7 7 11 1 MC # 134	90 ( 7 ( )			
				10 X X W	
				x x x x	w.
				3154 H.38	
	a a com normania a mancanda a m	MARINE ALEXA ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )	34 (M.) 0		
to considerate their action advantage man code to the parties and the state of the code of	of contrasting contrast of decision of the contrasting contrast of the contras	If A fig. 1 . It is the state that the states about the same			AT A PERMITTED OF THE MET A PROBLEM W
23 March (1988 1988 1988 1988 1988 1988 1988 198		A N. S. SERVING STREET, STREET, ST. ST. ST.		me as summer of the set of the set of the set	
*					
		Mar Market 1			
COMMISSION ON CHARLES IN A C 2 PAGE 1 STR AND PRODUCT COMMISSION		*			
			- :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	The same of the sa	

APPENDIX III: Site Specific Allotment Objectives

ALLOTMENT: Sampson Creek (Riparian Areas)

			***	PRESENT SI	TUATION	LONG	TERM OBJECTIV	/E	SHORT TE	RM OBJECT	IVE	
STUDY	KEY AREA     LOCATION	ECOLOGICAL SITE #	KEY   SPECIES	KEY SPP   % COMP BY   WEIGHT	SERAL   STAGE   (% OF PNC)	MAINTAIN   OR   IMPROVE	KEY SPP     % COMP BY     WEIGHT	SERAL STAGE (% OF PNC)	   ALLOWABLE   USE LEVEL	SEASON OF USE	   MET OR   NOT MET	     RATIONALE
	  NWNE,sec14  T24N,R65E   	unknown	   Grasses   and   grass-   like	   No   	 ecological st     	 atus survey     	completed to	o date	50%   50%	   Year-   long 	   Met   	Good Condition.  Based on use  pattern mapping  AUL not exceede
	  NESE,sec14   T24N,R65E 	unknown	   Grasses   and   grass-   like	   No	 ecological st     	 atus survey     	completed to	date	   50%   	   Year-   long 	   Met 	Good Condition.  Based on use  pattern mapping  AUL not exceede
	  NESE,sec14  T24N,R65E   	unknown	   Grasses   and   grass-   like	   No   	 ecological st     	 atus survey     	completed to	o date	   50%   	   Year-   long 	   Met   	Based on use  pattern mapping  AUL not exceede 
	  NENW,sec23  T24N,R65E 	unknown	   Grasses   and   grass-   like	   No   	 ecological st     	 atus survey     	completed to	date	   40%   	   Year-   long 	   Not Met   	Grazed   and   Trampled 
	  NWNW,sec23  T24N,R65E 	unknown	Grasses and grass-	   No   	 ecological st     	atus survey	completed to	o date	   40%   	   Year-   long 	   Not Met   	Grazed   and   Trampled 

APPENDIX III: Site Specific Allotment Objectives

ALLOTMENT: Sampson Creek (Riparian Areas)

				PRESENT SI	TUATION		LONG	TERM OBJECTI	VE	SHORT TE	RM OBJECT	IVE	
STUDY	KEY AREA	ECOLOGICAL SITE #	KEY   SPECIES	KEY SPP   % COMP BY   WEIGHT	SERAL STAGE (% OF PNO	i	INTAIN OR PROVE	KEY SPP   % COMP BY   WEIGHT	SERAL   STAGE   (% OF PNC)	   ALLOWABLE   USE LEVEL	SEASON OF USE	   MET OR   NOT MET	     RATIONALE
	  NWNE,sec 2  T24N,R65E   	unknown	   Grasses   and   grass-   like	   No   	  ecological     	status     	survey	completed t	 o date     	   50%   	   Year-   long 	   Met     	Good Condition.  Based on use  pattern mapping  AUL not  exceeded.
	  SWNE,sec 2   T24N,R65E 	unknown	   Grasses   and   grass-   like	   No (   	 ecological     	status     	survey	   completed t     	 o date     	   50%   	   Year-   long 	   Met     	Good Condition.  Based on use  pattern mapping  AUL not  exceeded.
	  SENW,sec 2  T24N,R65E   	unknown	   Grasses   and   grass-   like	   No   	 ecological     	status     	survey	   completed t     	 co date     	   50%   	   Year-   long 	   Met     	Good Condition  Based on use  pattern mapping  AUL not  exceeded.
	  SENW,sec 2  T24N,R65E 	unknown	   Grasses   and   grass-   like	   No     	 ecological     	status     	survey	   completed t     	 o date   	   50% 	   Year-   long 	   Met   	Based on use  pattern mapping  AUL not  exceeded.
Seep	  SESW,sec 2  T24N,R65E 	unknown	   Grasses   and   grass-   like	   No   	 ecological     	status     	survey	completed t	 co date   	   50%   	   Year-   long 	   Met   	Based on use  pattern mapping  AUL not  exceeded.
	  NWNE,sec11  T24N,R65E   	unknown	   Grasses   and   grass-   like	No   No   	 ecological       	status     	survey	completed t         	 	30%	   Year-   long   	   Not met     	Based on use   pattern map-   ping exceeded   allowable use   levels in   1986.

# SAMPSON CREEK ALLOTMENT USE AREAS

