Pawle - 21-9: FRANKIE SUE DEL PAPA 1 Attorney General C. WAYNE HOWLE 2 Deputy Attorney General 198 South Carson Street, No. 311 3 Carson City, Nevada 89710 Telephone: (702)687-3700 4 Attorneys Commission for the Preservation of Wild Horses & Nevada Division of Wildlife, Appellants 5 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR 6 OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS 7 HEARINGS DIVISION 8 N2-93-10 and IBLA 93-484 NEVADA COMMISSION 9 FOR THE PRESERVATION OF WILD HORSES (CPWH) 10 Appellant 11 12 ٧. 13 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, 14 Respondent 15 N2-93-09 and IBLA 93-482 NEVADA DIVISION OF WILDLIFE (NDOW) 16 17 Appellant 18 19 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, 20 Respondent 21 N2-93-11 and IBLA 93-483 WILD HORSE ORGANIZED 22 ASSISTANCE (WHOA) 23 Appellant 24 ٧. 25 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, 26 27 Respondent 28

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

Nevada Division of Wildlife (hereinafter "NDOW"), the Nevada Commission for the Preservation of Wild Horses (hereinafter "Commission"), and the Wild Horse Organized Assistance (WHOA) have agreed to withdraw the above-captioned appeals subject to the following stipulation:

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- A. NDOW is an agency of the State of Nevada, whose duty it is to ensure the preservation, protection, management and restoration of wildlife within the State of Nevada. The COMMISSION is a commission of the State of Nevada, whose duty it is to ensure the preservation and management of wild horses within the State of Nevada. WHOA is a non-profit wild horse advocacy group.
- B. On May 10, 1993, NDOW and on May 12, 1993, the COMMISSION and WHOA filed the above-captioned appeals from the Final Full Force and Effect Multiple Use Decision for the Paiute Meadows Allotment, dated April 12, 1993. The bases for these appeals were and are that (1) allotment specific objectives were not applied, thereby allowing for resource damage; (2) carrying capacities were determined improperly and contrary to the land use plan; (3) forage was not properly allocated; and (4) full force and effect was applied in a manner that would not protect natural resources.

<u>ORDER</u>

A. <u>BINDING OBJECTIVES</u>. The Paradise-Denio land use plan MFP III

Decisions establishing the criteria for the Final Paiute Meadows Multiple Use

Decision (1993) are commitments binding upon the BLM. Decisions setting

appropriate management levels for wild horses and stocking rates for livestock

must be consistent with these short term objectives.

B. MONITORING. Monitoring is an essential part of BLM's obligation and duty to determine the achievement of short term objectives set forth in paragraph A above. The BLM therefore shall monitor the actual use of livestock and wild horses and their impacts on the vegetative resources of the Paiute Meadows Allotment in a manner which will ensure early detection of effects which will result in nonattainment of wildlife habitat, riparian and range objectives.

Specifically the BLM shall monitor fish and wildlife habitat within the Paiute Meadows Allotment. The BLM will continue to collect utilization data on stream bank and wetland meadow riparian habitats. Depending upon available funding and other statewide priorities, BLM has a commitment to collect wild horse census data will include accurate population estimates, distribution, age composition, and annual rate of increase throughout the allotment.

C. ADJUSTMENT IN USE. Part of BLM's effort to achieve the objectives set forth in paragraph A above is the adjustment of active livestock grazing use and appropriate management levels for wild horses when the evaluation of monitoring data indicates an adjustment is necessary to achieve allotment specific objectives within a reasonable time.

Desired Stocking Rate computations for the Paiute Meadows Multiple
Use Decision will be presented in the allotment evaluation or environmental
assessment to be completed no later than 1998. Summer pasture stocking rates
are to be determined by procedures found in Bureau of Land Management

Manual Rangeland Monitoring Analysis, Interpretation, and Evaluation,
Technical Reference 4400-7.

The following procedures will be applied:

- 1. All available rangeland monitoring and actual use data will be applied.
 - 2. Riparian habitats will be considered Key Management Areas.
- 3. Use pattern mapping data will not be weight averaged or yield indexed in a manner which compromises or dilutes Key Management Area observed utilization or objectives.
- 4. Allocation of forage must consider proportional adjustments based upon actual use during the duration of the evaluation.

The livestock season of use on summer pastures will be based upon monitoring data and range science. A provision stating the following will be included in the final decision transferring the grazing permit:

For the 1995 grazing season, the off date for livestock will be August 17th. Should utilization objectives not be met after the 1995 grazing season, the full implementation of the multiple-use decision will occur in the 1996 grazing season with the off date of July 17th. If objectives are met after the 1995 grazing season, the 1996 off date will remain August 17th. In any event the full implementation of the multiple-use decision will occur as scheduled in 1997 with an off date of July 17th.

D. RANGE IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS. Riparian enclosure projects will be constructed in a timely manner as funding will allow. Interim adjustments in carrying capacities and season of use will be taken to assure that resource damage will be prevented.

	2.7
1	DATED this day of March, 1995.
2	
3	Wayne toute John R. Tang
4	C. WAYNE HOWLE Attorney for NDOW and Commission Attorney for Respondents
5	
6	Dayon) G Lappen
7	DAWN LAPPIN
8	Representative for WHOA
9	
10	ORDER
11	IT IS SO ORDERED THIS day of, 1995.
12	
13	
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
15 16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
16 17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
16 17 18 19 20	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
16 17 18 19 20 21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE