

# United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT Winnemucca District Office 705 East 4th Street Winnemucca, Nevada 89445

> In Reply Refer To: (NV-026.12)

11/1/95

November 1, 1995

Dear Interested Public:

Enclosed is the second draft of the Rodeo Creek Allotment Re-evaluation. Based upon the data analysis and comments received on the first draft, alternatives for technical recommendations have been proposed to attain resource objectives throughout the allotment. Data contained within the document goes through 1992 because the evaluation period for this allotment was 1989 - 1992. Bitterbrush utilization data collected after 1992 was added to the document because of limited data prior to 1992.

Please review the Second Draft and provide comments by December 12, 1995. There will be a facilitated meeting scheduled for December 13, 1995 at 10:00 a.m. at the Bureau of Land Management's Nevada State Office, 850 Harvard Way, Reno, NV, to address all interest, answer any questions, and to discuss management alternatives. After reviewing the comments, the Rodeo Creek Interdisciplinary Team will work on the development of the Final document and make recommendations to the Area Manager.

I strongly encourage you to participate in this process. We have seen better results when all participate in the process up front and not wait until litigation at the end of the process.

If you have any questions, please contact Peggy Redick at (702) 623-1500.

Sincerely yours,

Sue Skinner, Acting Area Manager Sonoma-Gerlach Resource Area

Enclosure

Richard Heap Mike Dobel Stan Ceresola Chuck Crisman David W. Armstrong John Torvick Cathy Barcomb Dawn Lappin

CC:

#### I.INTRODUCTION

A.	Allotment Number 00129
в.	Permittee(s) Stan Ceresola
с.	Evaluation Period 1988-1992
D.	Selective Management Category I Priority 3
Ε.	Summary of the 1988 Allotment Evaluation Conclusions
	<ol> <li>Based on field observations it appears that the upland and wetland riparian utilization objectives are not being met.</li> </ol>

2. Use pattern mapping on the winter range show that the objectives are being met except for White Sage Flat and Rodeo Creek Canyon.

- 3. Wild horse numbers are above AMLs.
- F. Management Actions from Agreement or Decision

The Rodeo Creek Allotment had been traditionally licensed for cattle at a level of 835 animals during summer and then reduced to 150 animals during the winter season.

The Agreement For Implementation And Changes In Available Livestock Forage And Livestock Grazing Use Adjustments For The Rodeo Creek Allotment was signed September 15, 1988. The agreement changed the number of livestock for each use area within the allotment and added a spring use area to the already existing winter and summer use areas. The agreement provided for 485 cattle to be grazed in the mountain pasture which amounts to a reduction of 350 head for the summer season. The 485 cattle would then be moved into the lower winter pasture for the remainder of season. This reduction of 42% was designed to reduce the grazing use on the summer wildlife habitat and wet areas of the mountain pasture. The lower pasture would be used when vegetation is dormant and then allowed to grow during the summer months. The agreement resulted in a net decrease of livestock use by 10% or 646 AUMS for 5 years.

The 1988 Allotment Evaluation states, "No recommendations can be made based on existing data. However, the livestock permittee has recognized the imbalance between summer and winter use areas and will agree to the following modications:

1. A five year reduction in the active preference from 6,462 AUMs to 5,816 AUMs and reduce livestock numbers in the summer country. The 10% reduction would total 646 AUMs. The yearlong stocking rate at 10% reduction would be 485 cattle. Livestock use would then maintain 485 cattle yearlong rather than changing numbers in the summer and winter use areas. The 485 cattle would be a reduction from past use in the summer country from 835 cattle. This would increase numbers in the winter country from the historical use of approximately 150. This management change would reduce stocking level in the summer country where a reduction is needed, but would increase use in the winter country where forage can withstand more grazing pressure.

2. Maintain the past winter and summer use areas and initiate use in the spring area. Make use as follows:

Turnout would be made in the winter use area of the Rodeo Creek Allotment. The winter use area includes the eastern portion of the Rodeo Creek Allotment and that area east of the Fox Range to include the San Emidio Desert, the Lake Range and the southern portion of the Black Rock Desert.

For the period 05/01 - 05/30 cattle will utilize the spring use area which includes the foothills of the Fox Range along both the east and west sides.

On 06/01 cattle will be moved into the summer use area which includes the high country of the Fox Range and will remain on the Fox Range until 10/30.

On 11/01 cattle will be moved back to the winter use area as described above and will remain until 04/30.

Range Improvements will continue to be identified for better distribution and livestock control in all areas. Livestock will be distributed and controlled by horseback and placement of mineral supplements during the grazing period to achieve even distribution and proper utilization levels. This will reduce the concentration of animals on White Sage Flat and Rodeo Creek Canyon.

3. Develop an AMP for the allotment.

4. Allow habitat types in key sage grouse brooding areas to improve to good or better and then graze to the benefit of sage grouse within the prescribed grazing schedule.

5. Remove wild horses from the HMA in the allotment to AML's."

#### II. INITIAL STOCKING RATE

2.

A. Livestock Use:

1.	Total Preference	9336	AUMS	
	Active Preference	5820	AUMS	
	Suspended Preference	3516	AUMS	

Season of Use Yearlong 03/01 - 02/28

- 3. Kind and Class of Livestock Cow/ Calf
- 4. Percent Federal Range 100%
- 5. Grazing System

Turnout is made in the winter use area of the Rodeo Creek Allotment. The winter use area includes the eastern portion of the Rodeo Creek Allotment and that area east of the Fox Range to include the San Emidio Desert, the Lake Range and the southern portion of the Black Rock Desert.

For the period 05/01 - 05/30 cattle will utilize the spring use area which includes the foothills of the Fox Range along both the east and west sides.

On 06/01 cattle are moved into the summer use area which includes the high country of the Fox Range and will remain on the Fox Range until 10/30.

On 11/01 cattle are moved back to the winter use area as described above and will remain until 04/30."-

B. Wild Horse and Burro Use:

Recommended Wild Horse/ Burro Numbers from the Sonoma-Gerlach MFP-III

				Wild Horse/ Burro NUMBER	WildHorse/Burro AUMs
Fox	and	Lake	HMA	334/1	4008/12

C. Wildlife Use:

1. Reasonable Numbers (from Sonoma- Gerlach MFP-III 1982)

Mule Deer - (<u>Odocoileus hemionus</u>) 177 AUMs Pronghorn - (<u>Antilocapra americana</u>) 137 AUMs Big Horn Sheep - (<u>Ovis canadensis</u>) 150 AUMs

2. Key or Critical Management Areas within the allotment.

No Habitat Management Plan currently exists for this area, but mule deer, pronghorn, potential California big horn sheep, and sage grouse habitat has been identified on the Fox Range portion of the Rodeo Creek Allotment in the Sonoma - Gerlach MFP-III. Maps of these areas can be found in the Winnemucca District Office.

#### III. ALLOTMENT PROFILE

A. Description

The allotment is located in northern Washoe County with the northern perimeter near Gerlach, Nevada and the southern end borders the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation and the Pole Canyon Allotment.

The typical physiographic features of the allotment are the high elevation north-south trending Fox and Lake Ranges to the valley floors of the San Emidio, Black Rock and Smoke Creek deserts. The allotment is approximately 25 miles long in a north-south direction and 16 miles wide in a west-east direction.

Vegetation types in the allotment include those from the greasewood- saltbush flats to the sagebrush-Bluegrass-needlegrass vegetative types at the higher elevations.

B. Acreage

Land Status <u>Public Land</u>	Other	Land	To	tal	
193,402 (Acres)	978	5,373	(Acres)	38	198,775

- C. Allotment objectives from the 1988 Evaluation
  - 1. Short Term
    - Utilization of key plant species in 596 acres of wetland riparian habitat shall not exceed 50% except where adjusted by an approved activity plan. (WL-1.10)

- b. Total utilization of antelope bitterbrush (PUTR2) shall not exceed 50% and 40% on quaking aspen (POTR5) except where adjusted by an approved activity plan. (WL-1.7) and WL-1.9)
- c. Maintain an acceptable allowable use level on key forage species that will provide a sustained yield.

Key forage species whose use serves as an indicator to the degree of use of associated species; or those species which must, because of their importance, be considered in a management program.

2. Long Term

- a. Improve to and maintain the condition of 596 acres of wetland riparian habitat type to good or better. (WL-1.10)
- b. Protect sage grouse strutting grounds and nesting wintering habitat and improve brooding habitat by: (WL-1.11)
  - Following NDOW's guidelines for Vegetal Control Programs in Sage Grouse Habitat in Nevada.
  - 2) Maintain sagebrush canopy at 30% in sage grousenesting and wintering areas where sagebrush does not exceed (3) feet in height.
- c. Manage, maintain and improve public rangeland habitat condition to provide forage on a sustained yield basis, with an initial forage demand for big game of 177 AUMs for mule deer, 137 AUMs for pronghorn and 150 AUMs for bighorn sheep by:
  - Improve or maintaining Fox Range DY-1 (16,224 acres) mule deer habitat to good condition.
  - Improving or maintaining Fox Range AY-5 (38,100 acres) pronghorn habitat to good condition.
  - 3) Improving or maintaining Fox Range BY-3 (32,530 acres) potential California bighorn habitat at 75% of optimum.
  - 4) Improve bitterbrush from severely hedged form class to lightly hedged form class.
- d. Manage, maintain and improve rangeland conditions to provide forage on a sustained yield basis with an initial stocking level of 6,462 AUMs.
- e. Improve range/ecological condition <u>1</u>/ from: poor to fair on 162,458 acres fair to good on 27,076 acres good to excellent on 1,934 acres.
- f. Manage, maintain and improve public rangeland conditions to provide an initial level of 4,020 AUMs of forage on a sustained yield basis for 335 (AMLs) <u>2</u>/ wild horses in the Fox and Lake Range Herd Use Area.

1/ The range/ecological conditions in this document are forage condition that will be replaced with ecological status condition as information becomes available. The objective will be redefined/

quantified to obtain a particular ecological status when site potential and identified uses are combined to meet vegetative objectives.

 $\underline{2}$ / AML refer to adult horses and burros (i.e. two years or older).

- g. Maintain and improve the free-roaming behavior of wild horses and burros by protecting and enhancing their home range.
- h. Maintain/Improve wild horse/burro habitat by assuring free access to water.
- D. Key species identification
  - 1. Uplands
  - 2. Riparian Areas
  - 3. Other (ie Crucial habitat)

#### IV. MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

A. Purpose

3

The Rodeo Creek Allotment Re-evaluation will evaluate the actual use, climate, utilization, ecological site inventory, wild horse census and distribution data, and wildlife habitat data to determine the effectiveness of the present management on the Rodeo Creek Allotment. This re-evaluation addresses the 1988 Rodeo Creek evaluation and the 1988 livestock agreement to determine whether the allotment specific objectives have been met or not met and if the objectives are still appropriate. Those objectives that are carried forward will be be requantified and management actions developed to ensure their achievement. Among the management actions to be developed will be to set the carrying capacity on a pasture by pasture basis and from there establish an Appropriate Management Level for wild horses and the appropriate livestock numbers, the allowable use levels, and a grazing system. The re-evaluation will be the basis for the Multiple Use Decision.

- B. Summary of Studies Data
  - 1. Actual Use
    - a. Livestock

Pasture	Grazing Year	Number of Livestock	Period of Use	AUMs
Winter	1988	485	03/28 - 04/30	542
Spring		485	05/01 - 05/31	494
Summer		485	06/01 - 10/31	2440
Winter		380 335	11/01 - 11/16 12/20 - 02/28	412 782
Allotmen	t Yearly	Total:		4925

485

Table #1. Actual Use for the Rodeo Creek Allotment by Use Area.

Rode	o Cree	k Secon	d Draft	t Re-Eva	luati	lon

Winter

Page 5

03/15 - 04/30

749

Spring		485	05/01 - 05/31	494
Summer		485	06/01 - 10/31	2440
Winter		485	11/01 - 02/28	1913
Allotment	Yearly T	otal:		5750
	1990		4	1 1
Winter		485	03/01 - 04/30	973
Spring		485	05/01 - 05/31	494
Summer		485	06/1 - 10/31	2440
Winter		485	11/01 - 02/28	1913
Allotment	Yearly T	otal:		5820

	1991			1
Winter		485	03/01 - 04/30	973
Spring		485	05/01 - 05/31	494
Summer		485	06/1 - 10/31	2440
Winter		485	11/01 - 02/28	1913
Allotmen	t Yearly	Total:	•	5820
			-	
	1992			
Winter		485	03/01 - 04/30	973
Spring		350	05/01 - 05/31	357
		350	06/01 - 07/01	357
Summer		100	07/02 - 10/31	401
Winter		non-use	11/01 - 02/28	0
Allotment	2088			

b. Wildlife Population Status and Trend

No site specific data has been collected by NDOW or Bureau biologists on the status of mule deer populations in this allotment. It is the opinion of biologists from both agencies that there are low densities of mule deer in this allotment even during optimum years due to the potential of the allotment's vegetative communities. Declines of 50-75% in mule deer populations have been documented by NDOW through out northwestern Nevada from the peak populations in the mid to late 1980's. It is believed that simular declines in the mule deer population has occured in the allotment. Probable causes for the declines in the Rodeo Creek allotment include extended drought and competetion for forage with other ungulates. At this time Bureau and NDOW biologists estimate the allotment mule deer population at between 100 and 150 yearlong animals.

The pronghorn antelope population is at a low density in the allotment and the count numbers for the 022 Management Unit would not be statistically valid to determine the trend for this area. The pronghorn antelope population trend probably follows the trend in the surrounding management areas. Populations were

increasing in these areas up until the winter of 1992-93 when populations took slight loses due to drought induced poor forage conditions, competition with other ungulates and extreme snow depths. At this time estimates of the pronghorn population show a net increase in the allotment herd over the beginning of the evaluation period. Population estimates for pronghorn utilizing the allotment yearlong range between 125-150 head.

This area has been identified as potential bighorn sheep habitat, but no animals have been reintroduced.

c. Wild Horses and/or Burros

Table #2. Actual Use for the Fox and Lake HMA within the Rodeo Creek Allotment.

Year	# Horses/# Burros	AUMs
1988	492/1	5736/12*
1989	497/1	5964/12
1990	477/1	5724/12
1991**	529/1	6348/12
1992	526/1	6312/12

\* Actual use adjusted to reflect removal of 84 horses in December of 1988.

\*\* Not censused that year, so an increase of 11% from the previous year was used.

Actual use is based on helicopter census, except for 1991 where an 11 % increase of the previous years population was used. Actual use for 1988 was adjusted to reflect the removal of 84 horses, December 1988. The relatively static population shown in Table 2 may be attributed to a combination of movement of horses between the allotment and the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation, timing of the census flights, and consistent removal of horses from the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation by tribal members since 1990. Helicopter census represents the best available data to determine actual use by wild horses.

2. Precipitation

The following table describes the amount of annual, growing season, winter, and percent of normal precipitation recorded at the Gerlach NOAA weather station from 1989 through 1992.

Table #3.	Precipi	tation Dat	a			
STATION	ELEVATIO	ON ANNUA	AL NORM1	GROWIN	IG NORM2	winternorm <sub>3</sub>
Gerlach	3950"		7.93		3.52	3.29
Year	Annual	% of	Growing	% of	Winter	% of Normal
1989	8.09	103	3.80	108	3.88	118

1990	10.15	130	6.28	178	3.41	104
1991	7.71	98	4.27	121	2.41	73
1992	5.46	70	2.99	85	1.88	57

1 Annual is October - September 2 Growing Season is March - August 3 Winter Precipitation is November - February

Climatological Data provided by the Western Regional Climate Center - Atmospheric Sciences Center, Desert Research institute.

#### 4. Utilization

Use Pattern Maps (UPM) were used to determine utilization zones and levels within each pasture. Key Forage Plant Utilization transects were completed to supplement use pattern maps and to differentiate and ascertain use zones and their levels. The data is used to document the effectiveness of management and forage demand. The procedures used to collect this data can be found in the Nevada Rangeland Monitoring Handbook and BLM Handbook 4400-3. Pre-livestock monitoring measures the amount of wild horse and wildlife use occurring before livestock are turned out. Post-livestock and total use monitoring measure combined utilization levels of all users.

Six use classes were used to monitor this allotment. The classes are: no apparent use (NAU) - [0%], slight - [1-20%], light - [21-40%], moderate - [41-60%], heavy - [61-80%], and severe [81-100\%]. Barren was used to describe areas that were completely void of vegetation or had only a few annuals growing within the area.

UPM data has been conducted for the last four years from 1989 to 1992.

Refer to the UPMs in the study files at the Sonoma Gerlach Resource Area.

a. Use Pattern Mapping

Illustration #1

# Winter Use Area



Table #4 Winter Use Area Use Pattern Mapping Acres and Percents by Use Class by Year

	1989		1990		1991		1992	
	Acres	Per- cent	Acres	Per- cent	Acres	Per- cent	Acres	Per- cent
Winter Use Area								
NAU	13010	21	0	0	7327	0	0	0
Slight	0	0	0	0	2959	7	9625	12
Light	8229	14	21275	24	15685	38	7327	10
Moder- ate	3979	7	61363	68	17370	43	25844	33
Heavy	35201	58	6845	7	4851	12	33607	44
Severe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Barren	0	0	470	1	0	0	618	1
TOTAL ACRES MAPPED	60419		89953		40865		77021	
ACTUAL USE	3129		4163		4763		4039	

Page 9

----

Illustration #2

# Spring Use Area



Rodeo Creek Allotment

Table #5 Spring Use Area Use Pattern Mapping Acres and Percentages by Use Class by Year.

	1989		1990		1991		1992	
	Acres	Percent	Acres	Percent	Acres	Percent	Acres	Percent
SPRING USE AREA								
No apparent use	1						4010	18
Slight use					7321	52	1540	7
Light use				1	6827	48	13483	62
Moderate use	4			1			0	0
Heavy use							2789	13
Severe use	1.5	1					0	0
Barren							0	0
TOTAL ACRES MAPPED					14158		77021	
ACTUAL USE							776	

Illustration #3

-



Rodeo Creek Allotment

Table #6 Summer Use Area Use Pattern Mapping Acres and Percentages by Use Class by Year.

	1989		1990	1	1991		1992	
	Acres	Percent	Acres	Percent	Acres	Percent	Acres	Percent
SUMMER USE AREA				1				
No apparent use	2125	9	0	0	0	0	0	0
Slight use	0	0	0	0	0	0	754	2
Light use	3360	15	5363	51	0	0	11861	28
Moderate use	11683	52	0	0	22717	99	10366	25
Heavy use	5287	24	5214	49	236	1	18657	45
Severe use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Barren	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ACRES MAPPED	22455		10577		22953		41638	
ACTUAL USE	6386	i	5976	5	8139		3934	

b.

Bitterbrush Utilization Transect

Bitterbrush(PUTR2), desert peach (PRAN) and Thurbers needlegrass (STTH2) utilization was monitored in Rattlesnake Canyon in December of 1993, March of 1994, and December of 1994. Table #7 shows the results of the utilization monitoring.

Table #7. Rattlesnake Canyon Bitterbrush Utilization Transect

	PUTR2	PRAN	STTH2
December 1993	4.5%	6.0%	17.5%
March 1994	12.5%	4.5%	23.9%
December 1994	36.0%	6.0%	30.5%

Rattlesnake Canyon was grazed in 1994 by livestock, but cow sign was not readily apparent on the sidehill where the utilization transects are located. There was a marked increase in deer sign between when the transect was run in December 1993 and December 1994. This could be explained by earlier winter conditions and greater snow accumulations in the high country in December 1994 than in December 1993.

#### 4. Trend

Four trend locations exist in the winter livestock use area. Two of the sites are photo trend sites and were established in 1989 and photographed in 1992 for the second time. The photo plots are scheduled to be done again in 1997. The other two sites measure trend by the quadrat frequency method.

Table #8. Frequence	y of Key S	pecies	
	Years	Read	
<u>Key Area #1</u> RC EMPIRE	<u>1989</u>	<u>1992</u>	
squirreltail Indian ricegrass winterfat shadscale budsage	.5 1.5 48.0 13.5 5.0	1.0 3.5 46.0 20.0 8.5	
<u>Key Area #2</u> RC3MILE			
bluegrass squirreltail Indian ricegrass shadscale budsage	0.0 9.0 1.0 16.5 41.5	0.5 9.5 2.0 22.0 48.0	

#### Evaluation of Frequency Data Table #6

#### Key Area #1

Squirreltail, Indian ricegrass, and budsage did increase slightly. There was no change in winterfat from 89 to 92. There was an increase of shadscale. A decrease of cheatgrass from 79.5% to 62.5% occurred from 89 to 92. This area has a static trend probably due to the fact that this area is already in late seral condition.

#### Key Area #2

Squirreltail and Indian ricegrass did increase slightly. There was significant increase of budsage from 89 to 92. There was an increase of shadscale. A decrease of cheatgrass from 93% to 30% occurred from 89 to 92. This area is in a upward to static trend probably due to the fact that this area is already in late seral condition.

Cheatgrass is not a key species, so was not listed on Table #6. It did decrease from 1989 to 1992. Cheatgrass being an annual is dependent on spring moisture. Low spring moisture in 1992 could be the reason for the significant decrease in cheatgrass.

6. Ecological Site Inventory

An ecological status inventory was completed during the 1990 field season. The following lists the acres and percentage by seral stage for the allotment.

<u>Seral Stage</u>	Acres	Percent
Potential Natural Comm.	37,610	198
Mid	65,525	438
Early Unclassified*	5,236	38
UNCIASSIFIEd."	4,401	20

\* The unclassified acres equals the Juniper woodland site and is not classified for Ecological Condition, but rated as a woodland.

#### 7. Wildlife Habitat

The key species idenitifed for wildlife in the Rodeo Creek Allotment is bitterbrush. Only two ecological sites on the Rodeo Creek Allotment have the potential to have bitterbrush. One site is a 023XY039 Loamy 10-14" precipitation zone with big sagebrush and bluebunch wheatgrass as the dominate vegetation types. The site is found on side slopes of the lower mountains, hills, and plateaus between 4500' and 6500'. At the lower elevations the site is usually restricted to northern exposures. Bitterbrush, at potential, makes up 2-5% by weight of the total composition. The second ecological site is 23-20 Loamy 10-12" precipitation zone with big sagebrush and bluebunch wheatgrass as the dominate vegetation. The site is found on the upper piedmont slopes and hills between 5500 and 6500' elevation. Bitterbrush makes up 2-10% by weight of the total composition at potential. 5 percent of the Rodeo Creek Allotment is comprised of 23-39 ecological site and .4 percent of the allotment is a 23-20 ecological site. Bitterbrush does occur in trace amounts on adjacent ecological sites, mostly on steep side slopes of draws however.

Habitat condition was rated for mule deer using analysis procedures outlined in Nevada State Office Manual 6630.2. Two methods within the manual were used. The first method rated mule deer habitat on browse vigor, forage quality, cover, disturbance or interference and water distribution. A second method was used to emphasize only the vegetative component of the habitat.

Pronghorn antelope habitat was rated using draft guidelines in Nevada State Office Manual Supplement 6630.3. The rating is based on vegetation quality, quantity, height, and diversity; water availability and quantity; and limiting factors such as fences, snow depth, and habitat disturbance.

Ecological Site Inventory vegetation data was used for analysis of rating both species habitat conditions. Data was used from Site Writeup Area (SWA) F031 transect 1, which represents Ecological Site 023XY039 (loamy slope 10-14"p.z.) and SWA F010 transect 1, which represents Ecological Site 023XY037 (clay slopes 8-12" p.z.). Ecological Site 023XY037 dominates the majority of designated deer and pronghorn antelope yearlong habitat (DY-1 & AY-5) by making up 21% of the allotment and the 023XY039 was used because as stated above it is one of two ecological sites that has the potential to have bitterbrush. Habitat was rated using both current vegetation conditions and vegetation conditions at the Potential Natural Community (PNC).

Table #9 shows the habitat condition ratings for both mule deer and pronghorn antelope.

	Range Site	023XY0	37	Range	site	023XY039	
Mule Deer							
Current (ALL) (Veg.	only)	69.6 57.0	(Good) (Fair)		67.2 51.0	(Good) (Fair)	
PNC (ALL) (Veg.	only)	64.8 45.0	(Good) (Poor)		64.8 45.0	(Good) (Poor)	
Pronghorn Antelop	e						
Current		47.0	(Fair)		51.0	(Fair)	
PNC		56.0	(Fair)		62.0	(Fair)	

Table #9 Habitat Condition Ratings for Mule Deer and Pronghorn Antelope comparing existing vegetative conditions to PNC conditions.

The comparison of the rating methods on mule deer habitat condition shows the vegetative component of the habitat, browse vigor and forage quality, are the limiting factors on both ecological sites. To a lesser extent the vertical cover component, another factor directly related to vegetation, is also a limiting factor. Analysis also indicates that as we progress farther towards PNC mule deer habitat condition will decrease on both ecological sites. This can be explained by the decrease in browse species production and an increase in perennial grasses in the climax community.

In order to maintain/improve the vegetative component of the habitat for mule deer the community would have to be kept at a lower seral stage than PNC. Both of these sites are predominately at mid seral stage (87% of ecological site 023XY037 and 97% of ecological site 023XY039). Maintaining them at the present seral stage or manipulating the vegetation to a lower seral stage could improve the vegetation component of mule deer habitat, but would conflict with watershed, wilderness, recreation, livestock, and wild horse resource objectives.

Vegetation appears to be the limiting factor for pronghorn antelope habitat condition in both ecological sites at present. The low rating is a result of a low percentage and diversity of forbs combined with a high percentage of shrubs in the community. As both ecological sites progress towards PNC the ratings for vegetation improve, but not enough to raise the condition from the fair to good class.

- 8. Riparian/Fisheries Habitat
  - a. Upland Riparian

The water inventory conducted during the summer of 1984 found 70 perennial springs, 186 intermittent springs, 1 perennial seep, 62 intermittent seeps, and 1 well. Since the inventory, a second well has been drilled. The focus of the inventory was on springs and seeps. Streams were not inventoried.

b. Streambank Riparian

The upper reaches of Rodeo Creek, Rattlesnake, Bull, Coyote, and Willow do have potential for stream riparian. Currently they are intermittent streams with vegetative community types ranging from aspen stands to willow to carex/juncus to poa++ to basin big sagebrush to juniper dominated sites. Baseline data has not been collected for these streams.

A portion of the area identified as wetland riparian habitat in the special features habitat inventory is the lower reaches of Rodeo Creek, Rattlesnake, Bull, Coyote, and Willow have limited potential for the stream riparian, except adjacent to springs and seeps. These systems have been flushed and are highly susceptible to flash flooding.

Wild Horse Canyon Creek is an aspen and willow dominated community types with intermingled areas of grass and grass-likes. Smith Canyon Creek is a series of spring complexes at the upper reaches with the Gravelly Fan ecological site at the lower reaches. Cottonwood Creek is a wash site with a spring coming in from a side draw.

The LUP did not designate any streams to manage for fisheries. No habitat inventories or stream surveys were done during the re-evaluation period.

Lotic or lentic functionality has not yet been completed on the Rodeo Creek Allotment.

9.

#### Wild Horse and Burro Distribution

Distribution data collected during the evaluation period indicates that horses on the Fox Range and Lake Range are two distinct populations with minimal interaction, and that there is a seasonal movement of Fox Range and Lake Range horses to and from the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation. During the evaluation period, movement of horses to and from the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation were more apparent on the Lake Range than on the Fox Range. The Rodeo Creek/Pole Canyon allotment boundary fence only allowed minimal movement of horses between the two allotments at higher elevations, however along the fans near the mouth of Wild Horse Canyon there were some horses moving freely between the two allotments from Lost Creek to Rough Canyon.

The distribution of horses on the Fox Range appears to be primarily affected by forage availability and precipitation (i.e. snow depth). In the winter horses typically are found on south/southeast aspects at lower elevations where snow depth is least and tends to melt relatively fast. There were few horses found to be using the valley floor to any extent. Mild winter weather conditions with minimal snow pack during the evaluation period allowed horses to remain at all elevations throughout the year until the winter of 1992/1993, when poor forage availability combined with heavy snow conditions forced most, but not all of the horses to the flats of the San Emidio Desert. The following four general winter concentration area's, as shown on the attached map, were identified:

1. Wild Horse Canyon to north of Smith Canyon, along the flats and toe slope.

2. Trail Canyon to Rodeo Creek, in the foothills.

3. Rodeo Creek to north of Cottonwood Creek, in the low foothills and upper fans.

4. Valley floor south southwest of Empire.

During the winter months there were some horses that appeared to have moved south from Trail Canyon to the adjacent low foothills on the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation, and horses moved from the Cottonwood Creek Area, to the valley floor south southwest of Empire. There may be some interaction of Fox Range and Lake Range Horses in the vicinity of White Sage Well at this time.

In early spring, horses were found at all elevations but the majority were found to be distributed fairly even on the middle third of the slope on the Fox Range. There were a few found around White Sage Flat and on the upper fans in the vicinity of Cottonwood Creek. As spring progresses there is a steady movement up the mountain to higher elevations. There appears to be some movement of horses from the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation back onto the Fox Range in the vicinity of Pah Rum Peak at this time. During the spring horses will move immediately to upper elevations when light winter precipitation results in limited plant growth.

During the summer months horses are found at all elevations on the Fox Range but tend to be concentrated at higher elevations, as shown on the attached maps, in the following two general locations:

Pah Rum Peak to Juniper Flat
 Willow Creek to Cottonwood Basin

There are a few horses found year long north of Cottonwood Creek.

In the fall horses were found to be distributed throughout the Fox Range but tend to concentrate along the top of the mountain in the two general summer concentration areas. It appears that a few horses move from the Pah Rum Peak Area onto the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation at this time. In 1992, the number of horses found on the flats southwest of Empire were nearly double the number found in previous years.

Distribution of horses on the Lake Range appears to be primarily affected by water availability, the number of horses on the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation, and by the removal of horses from the Reservation by Tribal members. During the winter, horses were found to be using the length of the Lake Range, and White Sage Flat south east from White Sage Well, as shown on the attached map. In the spring horses were found from Three Mile Canyon, south to the Reservation boundary fence. By summer, a few horses remain on the Lake Range in the vicinity of Manure Canyon but the majority have moved from the allotment onto the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation. During the fall, horses begin to move back onto the Lake Range from the Reservation.

10. Wild Horse and Burro Removal Data

~

84 Horses were removed from the Rodeo Creek Allotment in December of 1988.

303 Horses were removed from the Rodeo Creek Allotment in January of 1993. 63 animals were returned to the allotment on May 7, 1993. 32 studs and 9 mares were released just north of Willow Creek. 22 mares were also released just south of Cottonwood Canyon. Both groups of horses headed for the higher elevations after being released. Two mares with foals were released at Cottonwood Canyon on June 15, 1993.

#### 11. Threatened/Endangered Species

No on the ground field observations have been conducted for sensitive/protected plant and animal species. The candidate species that may occur however in the area of the Rodeo Creek Allotment are as follows:

#### Candidate Species

#### Mammals

Birds

~

2pygmy rabbitBrachylagus idahoensis2spotted batEuderma maculatum2small-footed myotisMyotis ciliolabrum2fringed myotisMyotis thysanodes2long-eared myotisMyotis evotis2Pacific western big-eared batPlecotus townsendii

2 black tern Ch 2 white-faced ibis Pl 2 ferruginous hawk Bu

Chlidonias niger Plegadis chihi Buteo regalis Ixobrychus exilis hesperis

Amphibian

1 spotted frog

2 western least bittern

Rana pretiosa

Plants

1	 Category	1:	Taxa for which the Fish and Wildlife Service has sufficient biological information to support a proposal to list as endangered or threatened.	
2	 Category	2:	Taxa for which existing information indicates may	v.

Taxa for which existing information indicates may warrant listing, but for which substantial biological information to support a proposed rule is lacking.

#### V. CONCLUSIONS

-5

- A. Short Term
  - Utilization of key plant species in 596 acres of wetland riparian habitat shall not exceed 50% except where adjusted by an approved activity plan. (WL-1.10)

The exact location of the 596 acres of wetland riparian habitat is unknown. Heavy use did occur on areas within the uplands and those riparian habitats adjacent to the heavy use areas in all likelihood received heavy use as well.

2.

Total utilization of antelope bitterbrush (PUTR2) shall not exceed 50% and 40% on quaking aspen (POTR5) except where adjusted by an approved activity plan. (WL-1.7) and WL-1.9)

Met. The bitterbrush within the Rattlesnake study site shows primarily lightly hedged form class, with some moderate to heavy hedging on specific favored plants. Some bitterbrush sites within the allotment, outside the study area, show more compact plants with less vigor. This can probably be explained by a lower growing site potential for bitterbrush.

POTR5 - Met. No apparent use was monitored on the POTR5.

 Maintain an acceptable allowable use level on key forage species that will provide a sustained yield.

> Key forage species whose use serves as an indicator to the degree of use of associated species; or those species which must, because of their importance, be considered in a management program.

a. Winter Use Area

This objective was met on 42%, 92%, 88% and 55% in 1989, 1990, 1991, and 1992 respectively. It was not met on 58%, 8%, 12%, and 44% of the use area in 1989, 1990, 1991, and 1992 respectively. The trend for the winter use area is static to upward and for the most part is in late seral. The use is occuring during the plant dormancy stage. So the winter use area is providing forage on a sustainable yield even with use above 50%, except for at the barren area adjacent to White Sage Well, which is providing annuals depending on the timing and amount of precipitation.

b. Spring Use Area

The spring use area has been mapped with the winter and/or summer use area each year except for in 1991 and 1992, where the mapping was done after the livestock use period. This objective was met in both of these two years. In 1992, however, it was not met on 13% of the spring use

area. This can be explained by the below average spring moisture in 1992 which is critical for growth of perennial species.

c. Summer Use Area

This objective was met on 76%, 51%, 99% and 55% of the use area in 1989, 1990, 1991, and 1992 respectively. It was not met on 24%, 49%, 1%, and 45% of the use area in 1989, 1990, 1991, and 1992 respectively. The heavy use areas correspond to the areas of high wild horse concentrations.

- B. Long Term
  - Improve to and maintain the condition of 596 acres of wetland riparian habitat type to good or better. (WL-1.10)

The exact location of the 596 acres are unknown. Where sediment has been deposited and with the low stream flows during the evaluation period, willow establishment has occurred on the lower reaches of Rodeo Creek. This would indicate an upward trend on the lower reaches. Conditions of the other riparian areas have not been monitored, but with the heavy use present, the wetland riparian habitat is probably in a static to downward trend.

2.

1.

Protect sage grouse strutting grounds and nesting wintering habitat and improve brooding habitat by: (WL-1.11)

- a) Following NDOW's guidelines for Vegetal Control Programs in Sage Grouse Habitat in Nevada.
- b) Maintain sagebrush canopy at 30% in sage grouse nesting and wintering areas where sagebrush does not exceed (3) feet in height.

This objective has been met. There were no vegetal manipulations as a result of new range improvement projects such as fencing, brush control, or pipelines or alterations to the vegetation by wildland fires.

Optimum sagebrush canopy coverage, as indicated in BLM Technical Note; "Habitat Requirements and Management Recommendations for Sage Grouse" (1979), for high quality nesting and brood rearing habitat, is approximately 30%. Based on professional observations, this recommended sagebrush canopy coverage is not present. Studies conducted on similar ecological sites within the Winnmeucca District were not capable of obtaining the recommended sagebrush canopy coverage for nesting and brood rearing habitat. Passey et al. (1982) in: Relation Between Soil, Plant Communities, and Climate on Rangelands of the Intermountain West, while working in the sagebrush ecoregion, found that vegetative cnaopy coverage under PNC conditions, in Wyoming big sagebrush communities, ranged from 8 to 24% with an average plant cover of 17%.

3. Manage, maintain and improve public rangeland habitat condition to provide forage on a sustained yield

basis, with an initial forage demand for big game of 177 AUMs for mule deer, 137 AUMs for pronghorn and 150 AUMs for bighorn sheep by:

a) Improve or maintaining Fox Range DY-1 (16,224 acres) mule deer habitat to good condition.

When all factors of the habitat condition rating were analyzed, the rating came out as good for both ecological site 023XY037 and 023XY039. The comparison of the rating methods on mule deer habitat condition shows the vegetative component of the habitat, browse vigor and forage quality, are the limiting factors on both ecological sites monitored. To a lesser extent the vertical cover component, another factor directly related to vegetation, is also a limiting factor. Analysis also indicates that as we progress farther towards PNC mule deer habitat condition will decrease on both ecological sites. This can be explained by the decrease in perennial grasses in the climax community.

b) Improving or maintaining Fox Range AY-5 (38,100 acres) pronghorn habitat to good condition.

The pronghorn habitat condition was determined to be fair. Vegetation appears to be the limiting factor for pronghorn antelope habitat condition in both ecological sites at present. The low rating is a result of a low percentage and diversity of forbs combined with a high percentage of shrubs in the community. As both ecological sites (023XY037 and 023XY039) progress towards PNC the ratings for vegetation improve, but not enough to raise the condition from the fair to good class.

c) Improving or maintaining Fox Range BY-3 (32,530 acres) potential California bighorn habitat at 75% of optimum.

No rating has been done at this time.

d) Improve bitterbrush from severely hedged form class to lightly hedged form class.

The bitterbrush within the Rattlesnake study site shows primarily lightly hedged form classes, with some moderate to heavy hedging on specific favored plants. Some bitterbrush sites within the allotment outside the study area show more compact plants with less vigor and this can probably be explained by a lower growing site potential for bitterbrush.

4. Manage, maintain and improve rangeland conditions to provide forage on a sustained yield basis with an initial stocking level of 6,462 AUMs.

.....

Did not meet initial stocking level of 6,462 AUMs. Only 5,820 AUMs were activated, as agreed to in the 1988 Livestock Agreement, from 1989 through 1991. In 1992, only 2,088 AUMs were activated due to low forage production that resulted from below average spring precipitation.

Met providing forage on a sustained yield basis on the winter use area. The area has a static to upward trend with late seral ecological status even with the heavy use that has occurred. This is probably due to the fact that the grazing is occurring while the plants are dormant.

Did not meet the providing forage on a sustained yield basis on the summer use area. Areas within the summer country had heavy use, no residual vegetation to use as a reserve, low plant vigor, and are in mid seral condition. It is unknown however if a stocking level of 5,820 AUMs will provide forage on a sustained yield basis at this time since the BLM did not gather wild horses as outlined in the 1988 Livestock Agreement to reduce wild horses to the appropriate managment levels outlined in the land use plan. Wild horse were not gathered due to the June 7, 1989 IBLA Decision 88-591 which requires BLM to establish that a removal is warranted through analysis of monitoring studies in order to restore the range to a thriving natural ecological balance.

5.

Improve range/ecological condition  $\underline{1}$ / from: poor to fair on 162,458 acres fair to good on 27,076 acres good to excellent on 1,934 acres.

1/ The range/ecological conditions in this document are forage condition that will be replaced with ecological status condition as information becomes available. The objective will be redefined/ quantified to obtain a particular ecological status when site potential and identified uses are combined to meet vegetative objectives.

Unknown. The Ecological Site Inventory was not completed until 1990 and so the information was not available during the last evaluation to know what the starting point was to see if we are progressing. The trend on the winter use area is showing a static to upward trend indicating that the conditions on the winter use area are improving.

6. Manage, maintain and improve public rangeland conditions to provide an initial level of 4,020 AUMs of forage on a sustained yield basis for 335 (AMLs) wild horses in the Fox and Lake Range Herd Use Area.

Not Met. A review of wild horses census and distribution data, and use pattern mapping data found that areas of heavy use were associated with horse concentration areas, indicating that forage was not provided on a sustained basis. Consistent use above proper levels does not maintain or improve rangeland or ecological conditions. During the evaluation period the initial level of use by wild horses were exceeded by 140% to 160%.

Maintain and improve the free-roaming behavior of wild horses and burros by protecting and enhancing their home range.

Met. The free roaming behavior of wild horses within that area of the Fox & Lake Range HMA contained within the Rodeo Creek Allotment were maintained during the evaluation period. Census and distribution data indicates that horses have complete freedom of movement within the allotment, and that some horses are moving between the allotment and, the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation and Pole Canyon Allotment. Water sources developed and maintained by the permittee have also maintained or improved the free roaming behavior by assuring a more stable supple of water within the HMA.

8.

Maintain/Improve wild horse/burro habitat by assuringfree access to water.

Met. Wild horses have free access to water sources on the Fox Range. With the exception of one spring, their are no perennial water sources on the Lake Range and limited potential for the development of additional water sources. Water sources maintained by the permittee have maintained or improved free access to water for wild horses. At this time the only water sources in the winter country are wells with submersible pumps or water hauled by the permittee. Additional water sources should be developed if possible within the winter country to assure an adequate water supply on the winter country for those winters where snow forces horses off of the mountain onto the flats. If the permittee does not use the allotment in the winter months, the only water available to horses would consist of storm water standing in depressions or on the Playa.

#### 7.

#### VI. TECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS

#### A. Technical Recommendations

- 1. Carrying Capacity
  - a. Explanation of how carrying capacity was determined.

The total carrying capacity was determined for the spring/summer and winter use areas using the potential stocking level calculation from BLM TR 4400-7. The potential stocking level is the level of use that could be achieved on a management unit, at the desired utilization figure, assuming utilization could be completely uniform. The potential stocking level calculation is:

	ACCUAL	USE
esired	Average	2
e	esired	esired Average Utilization

A weighted average utilization was calculated by use area using the moderate, heavy, and severe use classes. Moderate use was used because that is the desired utilization. Heavy and severe use classes were used because these are the two use classes that need to be reduced.

The two use areas were determined by elevation, the vegetative communities, water availability, and distribution of animals.

On the winter use area, 60% desired average utilization by February 28 was used. The plants are dormant and this use will not effect the plant physiologically.

On the spring/summer use areas, 50% desired average utilization by February 28 was used. The rationale for the 50% use level is to maintain or accelerate improvement in upland vegetation. 50% use on the grasses during the growing season does not hinder the plant physiologically and plant vigor should increase. Furthermore, a grass plant produces twice the volume of leaves that it needs to complete its growth functions and remain productive (vigor along with carbohydrate reserves are not depleted). Increased plant vigor means better protection to the soil surface and assures greater root volume.

The Land Use Plan ratio was then applied to the calculated potential actual use, the level of use required to achieve utilization uniformly over the pasture, to determine the number of AUMs for livestock and wild horses. Calculations can be found in APPENDIX #3.

b. Land Use Plan Ratios and Actual Use throughout the Evaluation

Livestock Active Preference from LUP	Ratio from LUP (percent of total)	Wild Horse Initial Forage Demand from LUP	Ratio from LUP (percent of total)	Total AUMs	Ratio from LUP (percent of total)
6462	62	4020	38	10482	100

	Livestock	Wild Horses		Proposed Livestock	Proposed Wild Horse	Tot AUN
Use Area	AUMs	AUMs	Total AUMs	AUMs	AUMs	
Winter				2608	1599	420
1989	2816	1277	4093			
1990	2886	1877	4763			
1991	2886	1153	4039			
1992	973**	677*	1650	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.		
Spring/Summer				3386*	2076	546
1989	2934	4687	7621			
1990	2934	3848	6782			
1991	2934	5213	8147			
1992	1115	3595*	4710			

Wild horse actual use AUMs in 1992 only goes

through October 30, 1992. Livestock actual use AUMs goes through October 30, 1992. All livestock were removed at that \*\* time.

C. Livestock

\*

Winter Use Area Livestock #'s = 438 cows Summer Use Area Livestock #'s = 560 cows \*

		Change From	n :			
Pasture	Total Prefer- ence	Active Prefer- ence	Suspended Prefer- ence	Period of Use	Numbers	AUMS
	9336	5820	3516	03/01 - 02/28	485	
Winter				11/01 - 04/30	485	2886
Spring/ Summer				05/01 - 10/31	485	2934

#### Change To:

Pasture	Permitted Use	Suspended Prefer- ence	Period of Use	Numbers	AUMs
	5542	3516	03/01 - 02/28	485	
Winter			11/01 - 04/30	438	2608
Spring/ Summer			05/01 - 10/31	485*	2934

\* Until monitoring documents resource objectives are being met, livestock numbers on the spring/summer use area will remain the same.

#### d. Wild Horses

The Strategic Plan for the Management of Wild Horses on the Public Lands was signed on June 6, 1992. This policy states that unadoptable wild horses will remain on the public lands, and that other methods such as fertility control may be utilized for population management. It is BLM's current policy in Nevada to return unadoptable wild horses and/or horses that are six years of age or older to public lands.

(1) Alternative 1

<u>Herd Management Area</u>	Wild Horse/ Burro 75% of AML to AML	AUMS
Fox and Lake Range		
Winter	23 to 31	276 to 372
Spring/Summer	130 to 173	1560 to 2076
Totals	153 to 204	1836 to 2448

This is based on gathering horses every three years. If gathering schedule changes, these ranges may also change.

RATIONALE:

Distribution and census data indicates that there has been a small yearlong resident population on the Lake Range, and that horses on the Fox Range do not move off of the mountain onto the valley floor until extremely heavy snows occur. The Lake Range is contained within the livestock winter use area, and the Fox Range corresponds with the livestock spring/summer area. Once total carrying capacity was calculated for the winter and spring/summer livestock use areas, AUM's were then distibuted to wild horses and livestock by using the ratios established in the LUP. This resulted in a total of 1,599 AUM's in the livestock winter use area (Lake Range), and 2,076 AUM's in the Fox Range (spring/summer livestock use area) for wild horses. To ensure that adequate forage on a sustained yield basis is available for horses on the winter livestock use area, carrying capacity for the small yearlong resident population on the Lake Range was determined by using a 30% utilization level by November 1, which resulted in 372 AUM's (31 horses) for the small resident population. There are an additional 1,227 AUM's available for wild horses in the livestock

winter use area which will be used during those winters when snow forces Fox Range horses to move onto the valley floor. These AUM's will not be made available to any other user. Since horses remain on the Fox Range yearlong except when extremely heavy snow occurs, and to ensure resource objectives are met, the 2,076 AUM's were distibuted for use over 12 month period.

(2) Alternative 2

Spring/Summer Limiting Factor

<u>Herd_Management_Area</u>	Wild Horse/ Burro 75% of AML to AML	AUMS
Fox and Lake Range	130 to 173	1560 to 2076

This is based on gathering horses every three years. If gathering schedule changes, these ranges may also change.

RATIONALE: Distribution and census data indicates that horses on the Fox Range remain on the mountain yearlong (spring/summer livestock use area), with seasonal movement consisting predominately of elevation and aspect changes, except for times where extremely heavy snows occur which temporarily forces animals onto the valley floor. As soon as the snow recedes, horses usually move back onto the Fox Range. Establishment of the AML at 2,076 AUM's (173 horses) for that area of the Fox & Lake Range containied within the allotment, the AML would be based on the limiting factor within the HMA which is the Fox Range. Although there has been a small resident population of horses on the Lake Range, the majority of horses move from the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation onto the allotment in the fall and return to the reservation in the spring. Since horses may be gathered at any time from the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation, it is not possible to fully manage horses on the Lake Range. If the Reservation Boundary fence was reconstructed so horses were held within the allotment, there is not sufficent water for more than a few horses, and there is only limited potential for developing additional water on the Lake Range.

#### 2. Grazing System

alternative 1 - Continue with the existing grazing system to see how it works with the wild horse numbers at AML.

Turnout will be made in the winter use area of the Rodeo Creek Allotment. The winter use area includes the eastern portion of the Rodeo Creek Allotment and that area east of the Fox Range to include the San Emidio Desert, the Lake Range and the southern portion of the Black Rock Desert.

For the period 05/01 - 05/31 cattle will utilize the spring use area which includes the foothills of the Fox Range along both the east and west sides.

On 06/01 cattle will be moved into the summer use area which includes the high country of the Fox Range and will remain on the Fox Range until 10/31.

On 11/01 cattle will be moved back to the winter use area as described above and will remain until 04/30.

Drift of 20 head into other use areas will be accepted under this alternative because with no fencing drift will occur.

- RATIONALE: Continue with the existing grazing system to see how it works with the allotment at the proper stocking level. This alternative outlines use areas and seasons of use for each use area based on the individual use areas' resource capabilities. Until this grazing strategy was implemented most of the grazing occurred during the summer with no use areas. This strategy should help us to meet the short term utilization objectives and long term vegetative objectives.
- b.

a.

alternative 2 - Develop a grazing system that would allow part of the spring use area (the critical growing time for the perennial plants) to be rested each year.

Turnout will be made in the winter use area of the Rodeo Creek Allotment. The winter use area would continue to include the eastern portion of the Rodeo Creek Allotment and that area east of the Fox Range to include the San Emidio Desert, the Lake Range and the southern portion of the Black Rock Desert.

For the period 05/01 - 06/30 cattle will utilize the spring use area which includes the foothills of the Fox Range along both the east and west sides. The Spring Use Area would be fenced into three areas. Two of the three areas will be used each year allowing the third area to be rested from livestock. One area would be used from 5/01 to 5/31. The second area would be used from 06/01 to 06/30. See attached map for use area delineations.

On 07/01 cattle will be moved onto the summer use area which includes the high country of the Fox Range and will remain on the Fox Range until 10/31.

On 11/01 cattle will be moved back to the winter use area as described above and will remain until 04/30.

**<u>RATIONALE:</u>** This grazing system is a treatment alternative for areas that have had heavy use of key forage species, low vigor in key species, and an undesirable plant composition. It should allows us to meet our short term utilization objectives and long term vegetative objectives by:

- 1) Maintain or accelerate improvement in vegetation
- Improve watershed.
- 3) Enhance wildlife habitat.
- alternative 3 Develop a grazing system that would defer grazing until after seedripe (bluebunch wheatgrass, Thurbers needlegrass, and bottlebrush squirreltail) on part of the spring/summer use areas.

Turnout will be made in the winter use area of the Rodeo Creek Allotment. The winter use area would continue to include the eastern portion of the Rodeo Creek Allotment and that area east of the Fox Range to include the San Emidio Desert, the Lake Range and the southern portion of the Black Rock Desert.

In year one, start on the north end of Fox Range and use the area from Cottonwood Basin to Bull Basin from 5/01 to 7/15.

From 7/15 to 10/30 the cows would use from Bull Basin south to the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation and the Pole Canyon Allotment boundary fence. In year two, the cows would use from the Pyramid Lake

Indian Reservation and the Pole Canyon Allotment north to Bull Basin from 5/01 to 7/15.

From 7/15 to 10/30 the cows would use from Bull Basin north to Cottonwood Basin.

This system would continue to alternate the use in the spring/summer use area between the north and south portions of the allotment as described above.

Drift of 20 head into other use areas will be accepted under this alternative because with no fencing drift will occur.

- **RATIONALE:** This grazing system is a treatment alternative for areas that have had heavy use of key forage species, low vigor in key species, and an undesirable plant composition. It should allows us to meet our short term utilization objectives and long term vegetative objectives by:
  - Hasten natural revegetation by improving plant vigor and permitting desirable species to produce seed.
  - Improve plant cover and hydrologic cover conditions and reduce soil loss.

C.

3) Enhance wildlife habitat.

d.

Alternative 4 - Develop a grazing system that would have spring rest until after seed ripe on the Spring/Summer use area.

Turnout will be made in the winter use area of the Rodeo Creek Allotment. The winter use area would continue to include the eastern portion of the Rodeo Creek Allotment and that area east of the Fox Range to include the San Emidio Desert, the Lake Range and the southern portion of the Black Rock Desert.

For the period of 05/01 - 06/30 cattle would be remove from the allotment. On 07/01 cattle will be moved onto the spring/summer use area which includes the high country of the Fox Range and will remain on the Fox Range until 10/31.

On 11/01 cattle will be moved back to the winter use area as described above and will remain until 04/30.

Rationale: Grazing would be deferred through the critical grazing period in order to meet short term utilization objectives and long term vegetative objectives by:

- hastening natural revegetation by improving plant vigor and permitting desirable species to produce seed,
- providing a forage reserve for off-season or emergency use, and
- improving plant cover and hydrologic cover conditions and reducing soil loss.

3. Range Improvements

- a. Two wells in the winter use area to better distribute the livestock and to provide water for the wild horses during the winter. The locations for these two wells approximately would be T.29N., R.23E., sec. 13 and T.30N., R.23E., sec. 9. Rationale: To better distribute the livestock and wild horse use in order to meet short term utilization objectives and long term vegetative objectives.
- b. Separate the winter use area from the spring/summer use areas and build two fences that would divide the spring use area into three areas. The fencing would include off set gates that would be open during the time in which horses would need or want to travel between the winter use area and the spring use area. (This range improvement would only be necessary if alternative 2 was selected as preferred management action for the allotment. Rationale: To better distribute the livestock use in order to meet short term utilization objectives and long term vegetative objectives.
- c. Riparian protection fences at the headwaters of Rodeo Creek. Rationale: to protect these two riparian areas in order to meet long term riparian condition and functionality objectives.

Rodeo Creek Second Draft Re-Evaluation

- d. Jackass Spring protection fence and relocate the trough to the open area downhill and to the north of its existing location. Rationale: to protect these two riparian areas in order to meet long term riparian condition and functionality objectives.
- e. Inspect the Range Improvement Projects according to the RIPS Schedule.
- 4. Allotment Objectives
  - a. Short Term Objectives
    - (1) Retain short term objective #1.

Utilization of key plant species in wetland riparian habitat shall not exceed 50% except where adjusted by an approved activity plan. (WL-1.10)

(2) Retain short term objective #2 as it is written.

> Total utilization of antelope bitterbrush (PUTR2) shall not exceed 50% and 40% on quaking aspen (POTR5) except where adjusted by an approved activity plan. (WL-1.7) and WL-1.9)

(3) Requantify short term objective #3 to read:

> Maintain an acceptable use level of 50% on the summer use area and 60% on the winter use area on the key forage species to provide a sustained yield.

- b. Long Term Objectives
  - (1) Divide the existing long term objective #1 into 2 parts:
    - (a) stream riparian

**Objective:** To determine the functionality of the individual reaches of the identified streams and have functioning stream ripairan habitats by the next evalaution period (2004).

**Purpose:** To have functioning stream riparian areas by maintaining a static or upward trend on the streambank riparian areas.

Monitoring: Monitoring will include lotic functionality. Monitoring will be done on Rattlesnake Creek, Rodeo Creek, Bull Creek, Willow Creek, Smith Canyon Creek, and Wild Horse Canyon Creek. A coverboard

photopoint will also be established on Wild HOrse Canyon Creek.

(b) springs, seeps, and meadows

**Objective:** To determine the lentic functionality of the identified springs/ seeps, and meadows and have functioning lentic riparian habitats by the next evalaution period (2004).

**Purpose:** To have functioning lentic riparian areas by maintaining a static or upward trend on the springs, seeps, and meadows.

Monitoring: Monitoring will include lentic functionality. The following areas will be monitored: the two headwater meadows of Rodeo Creek and the meadow in the upper end of Trail Canyon.

As conflicts are identified take the appropriate management actions to protect these areas.

(2) Requantify long term objective #3 from:

Protect sage grouse strutting grounds and nesting wintering habitat and improve brooding habitat by: (WL-1.11)

- (a) Following NDOW's guidelines for Vegetal Control Programs in Sage Grouse Habitat in Nevada.
- (b) Maintain sagebrush canopy at 30% in sage grouse nesting and wintering areas where sagebrush does not exceed (3) feet in height.
- To: to Desired Plant Community (DPC) Objectives using the following criteria and the site potentials to establish the desired plant community.
  - i) Strutting Habitat
    - Low sagebrush or brush free areas for strutting, and nearby areas of sagebrush having 20-50% canopy cover for loafing.
  - ii) Nesting Habitat
    - a) Areas within 2 miles of strutting grounds.b) Sagebrush between 7 and 31
    - b) Sagebrush between 7 and 31 inches in height (optimum = 16 inches).

- c) Sagebrush canopy cover 15-30% (optimum = 27%).
- d) Understory cover adjacent to the sagebrush provides an average of 25-35 percent basal coverage and an average understory height of 7 to 10 inches.
- iii) Brood Rearing Habitat
  - a) Sagebrush canopy cover 10-21% (optimum = 14%)
  - b) High composition of forb species.
  - c) Vigorous available meadow vegetation in late summer and fall.
- iv) Winter Habitat
  - a) Greater than 20% sagebrush canopy cover.
  - b) Areas that do not maintain high winter snow depth as a function of elevation or topography.
- (3) Requantify long term objective #3 from:

Manage, maintain and improve public rangeland habitat condition to provide forage on a sustained yield basis, with an initial forage demand for big game of 177 AUMs for mule deer, 137 AUMs for pronghorn and 150 AUMs for bighorn sheep by:

- (a) Improve or maintaining Fox Range DY-1 (16,224 acres) mule deer habitat to good condition.
- (b) Improving or maintaining Fox Range AY-5 (38,100 acres) pronghorn habitat to good condition.
- (c) Improving or maintaining Fox Range BY-3 (32,530 acres) potential California bighorn habitat at 75% of optimum.
- (d) Improve bitterbrush from severely hedged form class to lightly hedged form class.

to Desired Plant Community (DPC) Objectives. Refine Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) to adjust DPC objectives accordingly.

(4) Requantify long term objective #4 from:

Manage, maintain and improve rangeland conditions to provide forage on a sustained yield basis with an initial stocking level of 6,462 AUMs.

To: Desired Plant Community Objectives.

(5) Requantify long term objective #5 from:

Improve range/ecological condition 1/from: poor to fair on 162,458 acres fair to good on 27,076 acres good to excellent on 1,934 acres.

To: Desired Plant Community Objectives.

(6) Requantify long term objective #6 from:

Manage, maintain and improve public rangeland conditions to provide an initial level of 4,020 AUMs of forage on a sustained yield basis for 335 (AMLs) wild horses in the Fox and Lake Range Herd Use Area.

1/ The range/ecological conditions in this document are forage condition that will be replaced with ecological status condition as information becomes available. The objective will be redefined/ quantified to obtain a particular ecological status when site potential and identified uses are combined to meet vegetative objectives.

To: Desired Plant Community Objectives.

(7) Requantify long term objectives #7 and #8 to:

Maintain and improve the free-roaming behavior of wild horses and burros by:

- (a) protecting their home range
- (b) assuring free access to water
- c. Requantified Desired Plant Community Objectives
  - (1) Winter Use Area
    - (a) Empire Key Area 024XY004 (Silty 04-08")
      - Purpose: To maintain a static to upward trend.
      - Rationale: Represents the northern half of the winter use area.
      - Objective: This objective will be quantified once baseline data is collected. Expect to see an increase in SIHY and to maintain ARSP5 at its current level.
      - Monitoring: Continue to run quadrat frequency and establish a double sampling and ocular transect.

(b) Three Mile Canyon Key Area 024XY002 (Loamy 05-08")

Purpose: To maintain a static to upward trend.

- Rationale: Represents the southern half of the winter use area.
- Objective: This objective will be quantified once baseline data is collected. Expect to see an increase in the perennial grasses (SIHY, ORHY, and POA++) and to maintain ARSP5 at its current level.
- Monitoring: Continue to run quadrat frequency and establish a double sampling and ocular transect.

Spring/Summer Use Area

(2)

- (a) 023XY037 (Clay Slope 08-12") within SWA F010
  - Purpose: To maintain or improve the ecological condition of this site at mid seral.
  - Rationale: This is the dominant ecological site that represents the Spring/Summer Use Area (Fox Range). This area is within the potential yearlong bighorn sheep range (BY-3), mule deer yearlong range (DY-1), pronghorn yearlong range (AY-5), the wild horses use the area yearlong, and livestock use the area during the summer months.
  - Objective: After baseline data is collected, quantify objective. Expect to see an increase in perennial grasses and to maintain or increase forbs.
  - Monitoring: Initiate a quadrat frequency transect and a double sampling transect along with an ocular transect and photo point.

- (b) 023XY039 (Loamy Slope 10-14") within SWA F031 or F012 In the Rattlesnake Canyon area.
  - Purpose: To maintain or improve the ecological condition of this site at mid seral.
  - Rationale: This is one of the two ecological sites that has the potential to have bitterbrush occurring on the site and bitterbrush is one of the identified key species on the allotment. This area is within the potential yearlong bighorn sheep range (BY-3), mule deer yearlong range (DY-1), pronghorn yearlong range (AY-5), the wild horses use the area yearlong, and livestock use the area during the summer months.
  - Objective: After baseline data is collected, quantify the objective. Expect to see bitterbrush maintained, the perennial grasses increased, and the forbs maintained or increases on the site.
  - Monitoring: Establish a macro-plot and conduct the appropriate amount of line intercept transects to determine cover.

Desired Plant Community Objectives will be quanitified once the key areas are selected and baseline data is collected in 1997.

#### D. Wildlife Monitoring

1. Monitor utilization levels on PUTR2 and POTR to see if short term utilization levels are being met.

Monitor the PUTR2 utilization in the spring prior to livestock turnout and in the fall after the livestock grazing season in order to determine when the use is occurring on the PUTR2.

Monitor the POTR utilization at the end of livestock grazing season.

1997 Complete Habitat Suitability Index ratings on the Fox Range for potential bighorn sheep yearlong BY-1.

2.

- E. Riparian Monitoring
  - 1. 1996-97 Conduct lentic and lotic funtionality on the identified riparian areas.
  - 2. 1996-97 Establish coverboard and photopoint studies on Wild Horse Canyon Creek.
  - Conduct key forage utilization transects at the identified riparian habitats.
- F. Wild horse and Burro Monitoring

Continue collecting Wild horse/ Burros census and seasonal distribution data to determine population trends (reproductive rate, recruitment rate, etc.) and seasonal use areas. Wild horse/ Burros monitoring should be conducted on alternate years as follows:

- 1. Census every three years in July. (First year) Start census flights in July of 1993.
- Aerial distribution mapping every three years with flights conducted in January and July. (second year - 1994)
- 3. Conduct on the ground distribution mapping in July and October every three years to supplement aerial distribution mapping, and provide more specific population information on band size and composition. (Third year - 1995)
- F. Set Schedule for Next Evaluation

The next evaluation is to be conducted in 2004. A monitoring summary report will be written annually. The report will be sent to all interested publics for the Rodeo Creek Allotment. Annual adjustments may be made in order to resolve a resource conflict.

#### IX. CONSULTATION AND COOPERATION

The following individuals and groups were mailed copies of the draft re-evaluation:

Intermountain Federal Land Bank Assoc. Cathy Barcomb, Commission for the Preservation of Wild Horses Richard Heap, Department of Wildlife, State of Nevada Mike Dobel, Department of Wildlife, State of Nevada John Torvick Trout Unlimited Dawn Lappin, Wild Horse Organized Assistance Stan Ceresola

The following individuals and groups provided comments on the first draft, which were incorporated into this second draft:

Cathy Barcomb, Commission for the Preservation of Wild Horses Nevada Department of Wildlife Dawn Lappin, Wild Horse Organized Assistance Stan Ceresola

#### X. SELECTED MANAGEMENT ACTIONS

XI. FUTURE MONITORING AND GRAZING ADJUSTMENTS

### XII. NEPA REVIEW

\*

з.

#### APPENDIX #1 USE PATTERN MAPPING

- I. Winter Use Area
  - A. 1989

1. May 8

#### Post-livestock

21% No Apparent Use; 14% Light Use; 7% Moderate Use; 58% Heavy Use.

Heavy use occurred in the loamy 5-8", droughty loam 4-8", and silty 4-8" ecological sites where the availability of preferred forage was present. The lower productive sites (ie sodic terrace ecological sites) received less utilization due to the scarcity of the key grass species (Indian ricegrass - Oryzopsis hymenoides and bottlebrush squirreltail - Sitanion hystrix) in these ecological sites.

2. August 29 & 30 Pre-livestock

59% No Apparent Use; 34% Light Use; 3% Moderate Use; 4% Heavy Use

Two areas of moderate use were found. One was at the north end of the Lake Range where the permittee hauls water to troughs. This was the only water on the Lake Range at the time of this mapping. The other area was on the sodic terrace between Bull Creek and Willow Creek. Two areas of heavy use occurred. The first area is around White Sage Flat Well and the second was at key area 0129-03 at Trail Canyon on a sodic terrace 6-8" site. The rest of the area had light to no apparent use. Utilization at Cottonwood Creek, the southwest part of the Lake Range, and the northeast portion of the Fox Range was primarily made by wild horses. The other use areas appeared to be mostly from cattle from use made earlier in the year.

B. 1990

May 3 & 4 Post-livestock

24% Light Use; 68% Moderate Use; 7% Heavy; 1% Barren

Heavy use was found in the vicinity of White Sage Flat Well extending northward approximately three miles. There was also an area approximately one mile wide beginning south of White Sage Flat Well to the allotment fence that was void of any vegetation except for scattered halogeton. Another area of heavy use was on the east side of the Lake Range in an old burn area where the only perennial grass growing was bottlebrush squirreltail -<u>Sitanionhystrix</u>. The rest of the winter use area had moderate use with two areas of light use.

C. 1991

1. April 29 & 30, May 1 & 2Post-livestock

7% Slight Use; 38% Light Use; 43% Moderate Use; 12 % Heavy Use

Use measured on 90 production for the whole winter use area. Four areas of moderate use and three areas of heavy use were found. The moderate use areas included: the area on the north end of the Lake Range where the permittee hauls water; the basin up in the Lake Range; a large area along the south end of the San Emidio Desert and up along the west side of the desert to Rodeo Creek; and on the west side of the Fox

Range on the flats near the mouth of Smith Canyon. The basin up in the Lake Range had good grass production this year. The species found were Idaho Fescue - Festuca idahoensis, bottlebrush squirreltail - Sitanion hystrix, Indian ricegrass - Oryzopsis hymenoides, and bluegrass -<u>Poa++</u>. The use surrounding the San Emidio Desert was on bottlebrush squirreltail - Sitanion hystrix, Indian ricegrass - Oryzopsis hymenoides, winterfat - Eurotia <u>lanata</u>, and shadscale - <u>Atriplex confertifolia</u>. Most of the use on the west side of the Fox Range was made on the desert shrubs since the perennial grasses are naturally very sparse. The three heavy use areas included: up along the powerline road from the flats on the east side of the Lake Range up into the higher country; at the mouth of Three Mile Canyon; and on the flats on the west side of the Fox Range from south of Smith Canyon to Reynard. On the west side of the Fox Range, the wild horses have pawed at the shrubs to get to the grasses underneath. The rest of the area mapped had slight to light use. Most of the use on the west side of the Fox Range is from wild horses and the rest of the spring/ winter use areas is from both the wild horses and the livestock.

#### June 4 & 5 Post-livestock

52% Slight Use; 48% Light

Use measured on 91 production and only in the Lake Range. The use on current year's growth was light to no apparent use on the spring use area and the Lake Range. The Lake Range was utilized by wild horses during the winter (50-60%), stayed through the early spring, utilizing the first green up, and then moved out. There was evidence of current horse use (tracks, trails, manure, and sightings), butavailability of water in the range limits their numbers in the warm months. No evidence of current livestock use. Use on the east side of the Fox Range ranged from no apparent use to light use (30-35% on basin wildrye - Elymus cinereus, bottlebrush squirreltail - Sitanion hystrix, Thurber needlegrass - Stipa thurberana, and bluegrass -Poa++). On most of the mountain saddles large stud piles and trails heading in all directions were seen indicating horses use the entire area, but at a different time of the year than this mapping.

D. 1992

2.

#### 1. May 4-6

#### Post-livestock

12% Slight Use; 10% Light Use; 33% Moderate Use; 44% Heavy Use; 1% Barren

Last years growth was monitored. A big difference in production from last year was noted. Unseasonably warm weather with temperatures in the 90's. Cows were kept on the southern part of the winter pasture until right before the cows were suppose to go onto the spring pasture. Heavy use occurred wherever bottlebrush squirreltail - <u>Sitanion</u> <u>hystrix</u>, Indian ricegrass - <u>Oryzopsis</u> <u>hymenoides</u> were present. The desert shrub communities (winterfat - <u>Eurotia</u> <u>lanata</u>, and shadscale - <u>Atriplex confertifolia</u>, and spiny hopsage - <u>Grayia spinosa</u>) had light to slight use generally. Two winterfat - <u>Eurotia lanata</u> sites, near the mouth of Three Mile Canyon and at a cage along the powerline north of Empire Farms, did have moderate use. A barren area around White Sage Flat Well exists. North of Cottonwood Creek had slight use on shadscale - <u>Atriplex confertifolia</u>, and spiny hopsage - Gravia spinosa. Fresh stud piles present and heavy trailing through the area to the standing water on the playa. No cow sign was seen.

2.

October 5,6,19 and November 4 Pre-livestock

3% Slight Use; 64% Light Use; 30% Moderate; 1% Severe Use; 2% Barren

Two large areas of moderate use occurred from Needle Rock on the flats along the highway north about fifteen miles. The key species was bottlebrush squirreltail - Sitanion hystrix. The other area of moderate use occurred on the flats north of the Lake Range. The severe use area was at White Sage Flat Well. This has been a high livestock concentration area in the past with water availability from the well and is also a gathering place for the permittee because corralsare located here. A barren area was also mapped. This is another high concentration area for both cows and wild horses because the permittee hauls water to troughs here. This is one of two places water is available on the Lake Range.

#### II. Spring Use Area

1989 Α.

> November 8 Post-livestock

see summary under summer use area

1990 Β.

> The spring use area was mapped with the winter and summer use areas.

- C. 1991
  - 1. See summary of winter use area dated April 29- May 2.
  - 2. June 4 & 5 Post-livestock

52% Slight Use; 48% Light Use

The use on current year's growth was light to no apparent use on the spring use area and the Lake Range. The Lake Range was utilized by wild horses during the winter (50-60%), stayed through the early spring, utilizing the first green up, and then moved out. There was evidence of current horse use (tracks, trails, manure, and sightings), but availability of water in the range limits their numbers in the warm months. No evidence of current livestock use. Use on the east side of the Fox Range ranged from no apparent use to light use (30-35% on basin wildrye - Elymus cinereus, bottlebrush squirreltail - Sitanion hystrix, Thurber needlegrass - <u>Stipa</u> thurberana, and bluegrass - <u>Poa++</u>). On most of the mountain saddles large stud piles and trails heading in all directions were seen indicating horses use the entire area, but at a different time of the year than this mapping.

- D. 1992
  - 1. April 20-23 Pre-livestock

Rodeo Creek Allotment

Page 41 10/31/95

23% No Apparent Use; 53% Slight Use; 10% Light Use; 13%Moderate Use; 1% Heavy Use

Two areas of moderate use and one area of heavy use were found. Cottonwood basin had moderate use on Sandberg bluegrass - <u>Poa secunda</u> and slight use on bottlebrush squirreltail - <u>Sitanion hystrix</u>. Twenty-one horses were sighted in this area. The other area of moderate use was around Lost Creek on the west side of the Fox Range. Wild horses and cows were sighted using this area. This is one of the few places for the animals to water while using the flats. The area of heavy was found two canyons north of Smith Canyon. The grass species were very sparse and when found were under the protection of the shrubs. Heavy use was on Nevada ephedra - <u>Ephedra nevadensis</u>, black greasewood - <u>Sarcobatus vermiculatus</u>, and Douglas rabbitbrush -<u>Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus</u>.

#### 2. June 1 & 2 Post-livestock

18% No Apparent Use; 7% Slight Use; 62% Light Use; 13% Heavy

On the east side of the Fox Range from Trail Canyon north, the use was slight to light on Sandberg bluegrass - Poa secunda and Thurber needlegrass - Stipa thurberana. The grass was sparse and showing the effect of the drought. On the west side of the Fox Range, the grass is once again sparse. The grasses being sparse however is a natural occurrence in these types of ecological sites. Use was made primarily on spiny hopsage - Gravia spinosa, rabbitbrush -Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus, and Nevada ephedra - Ephedra nevadensis. Very little leaf development on spiny hopsage -Grayia spinosa this year. The area from Lost Creek to Wild Horse Canyon was barren except for scattered black greasewood (Sarcobatus vermiculatus) plants, which were heavily utilized, and dried up tumblemustard - Sisymbrium altissium. Water in Lost Creek and the surrounding canyons were only a trickle at the time of this mapping.

- III. Summer Use Area
  - A. 1989

November 8

Post-livestock

#### Rodeo Creek Allotment

9% No Apparent Use; 15% Light Use; 52% Moderate Use; 24% Heavy Use

The heavy use areas included the lower reaches of CottonwoodCreek; the surrounding area at Bull Basin Spring; the mouth of Rattlesnake Canyon; and from Trail Canyon south to the road that goes over Sheep Pass. These areas are all associated with water. The moderate use areas included: the upper reaches of Cottonwood Creek; the foothills south of Cottonwood Creek; between Rattlesnake and Trail Canyon; and on the flats from Wild Horse Canyon north to about one mile south of Reynard.

B. 1990

1. May 30 Pre-livestock

#### Rodeo Creek Allotment

97% Light Use; 3% Moderate Use

Utilization on the west side of the Fox Range in the flats had light use overall except for one small area of moderate use near the mouth of Lost Creek going south to the next canyon. This is where the animals water. Most of the use is made on the desert shrub species (shadscale - Atriplex <u>confertifolia</u>, spiny hopsage - <u>Grayia spinosa</u>, lanceleaf rabbitbrush - <u>Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus lanceolatus</u>, green molly kochia -<u>Kochia americana</u>, littleleaf horsebrush -<u>Tetradymia glabrata</u>, and black greasewood - <u>Sarcobatus</u> vermiculatus) which showed signs of severe hedging during the winter. The majority of use was made by wild horses. From Rodeo Creek to the head of Wild Horse Canyon the overall use was light with two small areas of moderate use north of Pah Rum Peak. Winter use on lanceleaf rabbitbrush - Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus lanceolatus was severe with all plants hedged into the old growth, no apparent use was found on the current year's production. A small quaking aspen - Populus tremuloides stand in Rodeo Creek appeared to be static to slightly improving trend with most age classes present. Above the quaking aspen - Populus tremuloides stand is a Saskatoon serviceberry -Amelanchier alnifolia stand, which appeared to be in good condition. The Cottonwood basin generally had light use with one wash having moderate use. Severe winter use was found on spiny hopsage - Grayia spinosa, Nevada ephedra -Ephedra nevadensis, and black greasewood - Sarcobatus vermiculatus.

2. November 6-9 Post-livestock

#### Rodeo Creek Allotment

51% Light Use; 49% Heavy Use

Heavy use areas included: the Juniper Flat area; the immediate vicinity of Mud Trough Spring; from Rodeo Creek south going into the Pole Canyon Allotment, from the mouth of Cottonwood Canyon east to the powerline; and along Smith Creek in Smith Canyon. Most of the grasses in the Juniper Flats area were found within the sagebrush cover with the ones in the open used heavily. Large interspaces occurred between the grass plants in the open. The heavy use that was found along Smith Creek and the adjacent springs is where the animals come to water. spiny hopsage - Grayia spinosa and black greasewood - Sarcobatus vermiculatus were severely hedged due to the drought conditions and harsh windblown sites on the upper ridges. A decadent antelope bitterbrush - <u>Purshia</u> <u>tridentata</u> stand was found west of Cottonwood and north of Smith Canyon. Use appeared to be heavy from Rodeo Creek south to the Pole Canyon Allotment on antelope bitterbrush - Purshia tridentata, snowberry -Symphoricarpos spp, and rabbitbrush - Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus.

C. 1991

May 15 & 16 Pre-livestock

#### Rodeo Creek Allotment

50% Light Use; 50% Moderate Use

On current year's production two areas of moderate use were found-the area from Rodeo Creek up to Pah Rum Peak had moderate use on bluegrass - <u>Poa++</u> and area from Bull Basin Spring to

Juniper Flats and over to Mud Trough Springs. All three of those areas have water available to livestock and wild horses. The area from Rodeo Creek to Bull Basin had light use. A map was done also on 1990 production with all heavy use except for from Bull Basin Spring to Coyote Creek which had light use.

D. 1992

1.

April 6-9

Post-livestock

Rodeo Creek Allotment - 99% Moderate Use; 1% Heavy Use. Pole Canyon Allotment - 100% Heavy Use

Use on 1991 forage production was monitored. Moderate use was found throughout the summer country with one area of heavy use. Juniper Flats is a highway of horse trails and is close to Juniper Flat Springs. The heavy use area was atPah Rum Peak extending to Wild Horse Canyon Spring and on over to the saddle where the boundary fence between the Pole Canyon Allotment and the Rodeo Creek Allotment is located. snowberry - <u>Symphoricarpos</u> <u>spp</u> and Saskatoon serviceberry -<u>Amelanchier alnifolia</u> have had heavy use in the past from Rodeo Creek south going on into the Pole Canyon Allotment. At this time the snowberry - <u>Symphoricarpos</u> <u>spp</u> had moderate use and the scattered rabbitbrush - <u>Chrysothamnus</u> <u>viscidiflorus</u> had heavy use. 1992 production is behind what it was the same time in 1991.

2.

June 2 & 4 Pre-livestock

20% Moderate Use; 80% Heavy Use

The use was all moderate and heavy. The two moderate use areas were from Bull Basin Spring to Coyote Creek and an area south and west of Rodeo Creek. The rest of the area mapped had heavy use. No spring rains caused low grass production. Most of the grasses only grew 2" or less and then seeded out.

November 2-5 Post-livestock

#### Rodeo Creek Allotment

2% Slight Use; 28% Light Use; 25% Moderate Use; 45% Heavy Use

Only 100 dry cows out of a possible 485 cow/calves were put onto the summer country of the Rodeo Creek Allotment this year due to the low forage production. The area received very little snow this past winter and very little rain during the spring. The grass species present only grew 1/2-2" leaf growth before seeding out this year. Cottonwood Basin to Willow Creek had light use on the grass species while the browse species, black greasewood - <u>Sarcobatus</u> vermiculatus and spiny hopsage - Grayia spinosa, had moderate to heavy use. Shadscale - Atriplex confertifolia had heavy use on select plants. Rabbitbrush - Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus had heavy to severe use and Tamarisk - Tamarix <u>spp</u> had moderate to heavy use. The antelope bitterbrush -<u>Purshia tridentata</u> in this area was decadent with low vigor. Slight use was found on it. Willow Creek to Bull Basin Spring had moderate use on bottlebrush squirreltail -Sitanion hystrix, heavy to severe use on rabbitbrush -Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus, and some of the Lahontan sagebrush - Artemisia spp had been hedged. From Bull Basin Spring south to the allotment fence heavy use was found on bottlebrush squirreltail - Sitanion hystrix, Thurber

needlegrass - <u>Stipa thurberana</u>, and Sandberg bluegrass -<u>Poasecunda</u>. The rabbitbrush - <u>Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus</u> and snowberry - <u>Symphoricarpos</u> <u>spp</u> were heavily hedged. An area of moderate use was found also at Little Rattlesnake Canyon.

.

#### APPENDIX #2A METHODS OF WILD HORSE DISTRIBUTION FLIGHTS

Data on the distribution of wild horses has been collected from the ground and by aircraft (helicopter and fixed-wing) since 1988. Distribution of horses in the allotment appears to be primarily affected by weather conditions and forage availability. During the period covered by this evaluation there was very little snow pack on the mountains, which allowed the horses to occupy all habitats from the lower to the higher elevations yearlong. However few horses were found on the valley floor of the San Emidio Desert.

When collecting distribution data by fixed-wing aircraft the objective is to identify those areas that wild horses are utilizing at that point in time, not to obtain a count as accurate as a helicopter census. The entire HMA is flown in a transect pattern with the flight lines ranging from 1/2 mile to 2 miles apart depending on visibility and flight conditions. In steep mountainous country the straight line transects are modified to follow the topography of the area to ensure complete coverage. Aircraft altitude ranged from approximately 300 to 600 feet above ground level, depending on visibility and local flight conditions.

During the evaluation period data was collected from different fixed-wing aircraft: Maule M-5 Cessna 206, and Cessna 210. In addition to the fixed wing distribution data, each helicopter census provides distribution information on wild horses. When utilizing the Cessna there were two observers on board, one individual recorded flight lines, animal locations, and the number of animals (adults and foals) seen at each location while the other individual did the counting. In areas of high concentrations a total count of all bands was recorded on the map rather than each individual band.

When conducting a flight using the Maule there were two observers on board; an observer and pilot. Distribution data collected by the Maule is stored in an on-board computer system. As horses were seen, the observers would call out the number of adults and foals to the pilot who would enter the data into the on-board computer system. The computer records the number of horses seen, the location of the animals by latitude and longitude using a global positioning system, and any remarks the observer may want to record for a specific sighting. Once the flight is completed, the results are printed and transferred by hand to a HMA map. This system does not record the general flight path as is done with the Cessna. Again, in areas of high concentrations a total count of all bands is recorded in the computer system.

#### APPENDIX #2B DISTRIBUTION FLIGHTS

COUCO OTCON DIDUTIDUCION	Rodeo	Creek	Distribution
--------------------------	-------	-------	--------------

Date	# Horses		# Burros	Aircra	ft
10/88*	492			Bell 47G3B-1	-
7/89*	497			Bell 47G3B-S	(Soloy)
2/90	267			Cessna 206	
9/90*	477		1	Hughes	500D
1/91	301			Cessna 210	
7/91	286			Maule M-5	
3/92	329	1	Cessn	a 210	
5/92	282			Maule M-5	
7/92	288			Maule M-5	

9/92 10/92\*

Maule M-6 Hiller II-E (Soloy)

\* Census Flights

#### October 1988 Census

525

During this census, horses were distributed along the higher elevations of the Fox Range from Cottonwood Creek to Trail Canyon, and from Juniper Flat to Wild Horse Canyon. Horses were scattered from Three-mile Canyon to Manure Canyon on the Lake Range.

1

#### July 1989 Census

The horses were found at the higher elevations throughout the Fox Range. The area of highest concentration was from Juniper Flat to Wild Horse Canyon and from north Juniper Flat to Cottonwood Creek. The majority of the horses, on the Lake Range, were found slightly north of Manure Canyon in the higher elevations.

#### February 1990 Distribution

This distribution flight found the horses scattered throughout all elevations. The highest concentrations, on the Fox Range, were distributed from Juniper Flat to Trail Canyon, and on the flats from Smith Canyon to Wild Horse Canyon. The horses on the Lake Range were concentrated from Three-mile Canyon north, and around Manure Canyon.

#### September 1990 Census

Most horses were observed in the higher elevations with a few animals in the foothills and flats. There was a high concentration of horses, in the Fox Range, from Juniper Flat to Pah-Rum Peak and in Rattlesnake Canyon. A lower concentration of animals existed from Cottonwood Creek to Rodeo Creek. Lake Range, the horses were found at all elevations between Three-mile On the Canyonand Manure Canyon. The burro was at the mouth of San Emidio Canyon.

In additon to census on the HMA, a census was conducted on the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation at the same time. After completing the census maps were porivded to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. There were 387 horses found on reservation lands, south of the HMA. The largest concectration of horses were onthe Lake Range in the area bounded by Tohakum Peak - Sweetwater Canyon-San Emidio Canyon.

#### January 1991 Distribution

Horses were distributed fairly evenly throughout the high and low elevations. Areas of high concentration, on the Fox Range, were between Bull Basin and Trail Canyon, from Cottonwood Creek to Coyote Creek, and along the west side of the range on the flats. Horses on the Lake Range were scattered evenly throughout the range.

#### July 1991 Distribution

Horses were observed in both the lower and higher elevations. The highest concentrations, on the Fox Range, were from Juniper Flat to Wild Horse Canyon, south of Smith Canyon, and on the flats from Reynard to Wild Horse Canyon. The horses on the Lake Range were found north of Manure Canyon.

#### March 1992 Distribution

The horses were mainly distributed in the lower elevations. The highest concentrations were found along the both sides of the Fox Range; from Cottonwood Creek to Coyote Creek, from Smith Canyon to Juniper Flat, and from Bull Basin to Rattlesnake Canyon which had the highest density. On the Lake Range, horses were scattered in the lower elevations, around White Sage Flat. The burro was located west of Three-mile well.

Page 47 10/31/95

#### May 1992 Distribution

The horses observed were at the higher elevations in the Fox Range. The heaviest concentration of animals were distributed from Juniper Flat to Pah-Rum Peak. No horses were observed on the Lake Range within the HMA boundary.

#### July 1992 Distribution

Observation found the horses scattered throughout the high and low elevations. Major areas of concentration were north of Pah-Rum Peak, between Bull Basin and Rodeo Creek, and between Rattlesnake Canyon and the Reservation Boundary. The Lake Range horses were distributed from Three-mile Canyon south to Manure Canyon.

#### September 1992 Distribution

On this flight the horses were found at the lower elevations. The burro was observed north of Three-mile Canyon on the Lake Range.

#### October 1992 Census

Horses were distributed throughout all elevations, on both the Fox and the Lake Ranges. Areas of high concentration were Smith Canyon, from Juniper Flat to Wild Horse Canyon, and from Coyote Creek to Trail Canyon. The burro was located in Rattlesnake Canyon on the Fox Range. On the Lake Range, most of the horses were concentrated from Three-mile Canyon south to the Reservation boundary.

I. Winter Use Area

POST-LIVESTOCK <u>WINTER USE AREA</u>		PRE-LIVESTOCK WINTER USE AREA		
YEAR	AUMs	YEAR	AUMs	
1989	2760	1989	206	
1990	4804	1990		
1991	5292	1991		
1992	3972	1992	296	
AVERAGE	4207	AVERAGE	251	

- May 8-11, 1989 post-livestock Α.
  - 1. weighted average utilization

$$\frac{(3979 \text{ acres } x .5) + (35,201 \text{ acres } x .7)}{39,180 \text{ acres}} = .68$$

- 2. potential stocking level
  - a) actual use
    - 1) livestock = 2097 AUMs
    - 2) wild horses = 1032 AUMs
  - b) potential stocking level

2097 livestock AUMs + 1032 W. Horse AUMs = X .68

.68X = 1877X = 2760 AUMs

- August 29, 1989 pre-livestock в.
  - weighted average utilization 1.

$$\frac{(1623 \text{ acres } x .5) + (2463 \text{ acres } x .7)}{4086 \text{ Acres}} = .62$$

- 2. potential stocking level
  - a) actual use

b) potential stocking level

Rodeo Creek Second Draft Re-Evaluation

Page 49

10/31/95

. 6

X = 206 AUMs

C. May 3-4, 1990 post-livestock

e ~ 11

1. weighted average utilization

$$\frac{(61,363 \text{ acres } x .5) + (6845 \text{ acres } x .7)}{68,208 \text{ Acres}} = .52$$

- 2. potential stocking level
  - a) actual use
    - 1) livestock = 2886 AUMs
    - 2) wild horses = 1277 AUMs
  - b) potential stocking level

$$\frac{2886 \text{ livestock AUMs} + 1277 \text{ W. Horse AUMs}}{.52} = \frac{X}{.6}$$

.52X = 2498 X = **4804 AUMs** 

- D. April 29 May 2, 1991 post-livestock
  - 1. weighted average utilization

$$\frac{(17,370 \text{ acres } x .5) + (4851 \text{ acres } x .7)}{22,221 \text{ Acres}} = .54$$

- 2. potential stocking level
  - a) actual use
    - 1) livestock = 2886 AUMs
    - 2) wild horses = 1877 AUMs
  - b) potential stocking level

 $\frac{2886 \text{ livestock AUMs} + 1877 \text{ W. Horse AUMs}}{.54} = \frac{X}{.6}$ 

#### .54X = 2858X = 5292 AUMs

- E. May 4-6, 1992 post-livestock
  - 1. weighted average utilization

$$\frac{(25,844 \text{ acres } x .5) + (33,607 \text{ acres } x .7)}{59,451 \text{ Acres}} = .61$$

- 2. potential stocking level
  - a) actual use
    - 1) livestock = 2886 AUMs
    - 2) wild horses = 1153 AUMs
  - b) potential stocking level

Rodeo Creek Second Draft Re-Evaluation

Page 50

2886 livestock AUMs + 1153 W. Horse AUMs = X .61

.6

$$.61X = 2423$$
  
X = 3972 AUMs

- F. October 5-6, 19 & Nov 4, 1992 pre-livestock
  - 1. weighted average utilization

 $\frac{(16,408 \text{ acres } x .5) + (49 \text{ acres } x .9)}{16,457 \text{ Acres}} = .5$ 

- 2. potential stocking level
  - a) actual use

wild horse AUMs = 493 AUMs

b) potential stocking level

<u>493 W. Horse AUMs</u> = <u>X</u> .5 .3 .5X = 148 X = **296 AUMs** 

AVERAGE = 4207

e 2. 12

Livestock (62%) = 2608 W. Horses (38%) = 1599 (Livestock #'s)(181 days) = 2608 (W. Horse #'s)(12 months) = 1599 30.41666 Livestock #'s = 438 cows W. Horse #'s = 133 W. Horses 30% use before November 1 (pre-livestock) (W. Horse #'s)(245 days) = 251 30.41666 W. Horse #'s = 31 W. Horses

II. Spring / Summer Use Areas

POST-LIVESTOCK SUMMER USE AREA		PRE-LIVESTOCK SUMMER USE AREA		
YEAR	AUMs	YEAR	AUMs	
1989	5702	1989		
1990	4269	1990	350	
1991	8140	1991	290	
1992	3738	1992	421	
AVERAGE	5462	AVERAGE	354	

A. November 8, 1989 post-livestock

1. weighted average utilization

 $\frac{(11,683 \text{ acres } x .5) + (5287 \text{ acres } x .7)}{16,970} = .56$ 

- 2. potential stocking level
  - a) actual use

e . .

- 1) livestock = 2934 AUMs
- 2) wild horses = 3452 AUMs
- b) potential stocking level

<u>2934 livestock AUMs + 3452 W. Horse AUMs = X</u> .56 .5 .56X = 3193

ي م ب ۽

X = 5702 AUMs

- B. May 21,22,24, 1990pre-livestock
  - weighted average utilization

$$\frac{197 \text{ acres } X .5}{197 \text{ acres}} = .5$$

2. potential stocking level

a) actual use

<u>(301 W. Horses)(61 days)</u> = 604 AUMs 30.41666

<u>(396 W. Horses)(21 days)</u> = **273 AUMs** 30.41666

b) potential stocking level

 $\frac{877 \text{ W. Horse AUMs}}{.50} = \frac{X}{.2}$ 

.50X = 175 X = **350 AUMs** 

- C. November 6-9, 1990post-livestock
  - 1. weighted average utilization

$$\frac{(5214 \text{ acres } x .7)}{5214 \text{ Acres}} = .7$$

- 2. potential stocking level
  - a) actual use
    - 1) livestock = 2934 AUMs
    - 2) wild horses = 3042 AUMs
  - b) potential stocking level

$$\frac{2934 \text{ livestock AUMS} + 3042 \text{ W. Horse AUMS}}{.7} = \frac{X}{.5}$$

Rodeo Creek Second Draft Re-Evaluation

.7X = 2988X = 4269 AUMs

D. May 15-16, 1991 pre-livestock

1. weighted average utilization

K 45 A

 $\frac{(4601 \text{ acres } x .5)}{4601 \text{ Acres}} = .5$ 

2. potential stocking level

a) actual use

<u>(238 W. Horses)(61 days)</u> = **477 AUMs** 30.41666

4 × 4

<u>(508 W. Horses)(15 days)</u> = **250 AUMs** 30.41666

b) potential stocking level

$$727$$
 W. Horse AUMs = X  
.5  
.5X = 145  
X = 290 AUMs

E. April 6-9, 1992 post-livestock

1. weighted average utilization

$$\frac{(22,717 \text{ acres } x .5) + (236 \text{ acres } x .7)}{22,953 \text{ Acres}} = .5$$

2. potential stocking level

- a) actual use
  - 1) livestock = 2934 AUMs
  - 2) wild horses = 5205 AUMs
- b) potential stocking level

 $\frac{2934 \text{ livestock AUMs} + 5205 \text{ W. Horse AUMs}}{.5} = \frac{X}{.5}$ 

F. June 2&4, 1992 pre-livestock

1. weighted average utilization

$$\frac{(1089 \text{ acres } x .5) + (4232 \text{ acres } x .7)}{5321 \text{ Acres}} = .66$$

potential stocking level

a) actual use

(525 W. Horses)(32 days) = 552

Rodeo Creek Second Draft Re-Evaluation

30.41666

. . .

 $\frac{1392 \text{ W. Horse AUMs}}{66} = \frac{X}{.2}$ 

.66X = 278 X = **421 AUMs** 

- G. November 2-5, 1992post-livestock
  - 1. weighted average utilization

$$\frac{(10,366 \text{ acres } x .5) + (18,657 \text{ acres } x .7)}{29,023 \text{ Acres}} = .63$$

2. potential stocking level

- a) actual use
  - 1) livestock = 1115 AUMs
  - 2) wild horses = 3595 AUMs
- b) potential stocking level  $\frac{1115 \text{ livestock AUMs} + 3595 \text{ W. Horse AUMs} = X}{.63}$ .5 .63X = 2355 x = 3738 AUMs

#### AVERAGE = 5462

4 Cz .

Livestock (62%) = 3386 W. Horses (38%) = 2076

<u>(Livestock #'s)(184 days)</u> = 3386 (W. Horse #'s)(12 months) = 2076 30.41666

Livestock #'s = 560 cows

W. Horse #'s = 173 W. Horses

Rodeo Creek Second Draft Re-Evaluation











BOB MILLER Governor STATE OF NEVADA





## COMMISSION FOR THE PRESERVATION OF WILD HORSES

255 W. Moana Lane Suite 207A Reno, Nevada 89509 (702) 688-2626

November 14, 1995

Ms. Sue Skinner Sonoma-Gerlach Resource Area Bureau of Land Management 705 East 4th Street Winnemucca, Nevada 89445

Subject: Rodeo Creek Allotment Re-evaluation

Dear Ms. Skinner:

Thank you for consulting the Nevada Commission for the Preservation of Wild Horses. Our Commission is very concerned with the present and future management of the Lake and Fox Wild Horse Herds affected by pending decisions. It is our expectations that this allotment evaluation/multiple use decision will make necessary adjustments to avoid the catastrophic conditions observed in January 1993.

Please accept the following comments:

Page 3, Wild Horse and Wildlife Use

The allotment evaluation should delineate key management areas critical to the survival of wild horses and wildlife on the allotment.

Allotment objectives established in 1988 Evaluations are the only recognized objectives for evaluation purposes.

Page 7, Actual Use

Actual use data collected in 1993 and 1994 should be included in the allotment evaluation. Use pattern mapping and other studies have been provided to the Commission since 1992. The removal of 240 wild horses from the allotment during January 1993, with a possible "die-off", should have made significant differences in use pattern mapping data collected in 1993 and 1994. Ms. Sue Skinner November 14, 1995 Page 2

An animal unit month is equivalent to one adult horse (See land use plan).

Page 8, Utilization

We request that use pattern maps be provided to determine the specific use per year by animal.

## Page 16, Riparian Functionality

We have been advised that functionality assessment have been completed on this allotment during 1993 and 1994.

#### Page 19, Conclusions

Riparian habitat delineations (special feature report) of the Unit Resource Analysis are the bases of the land use plan. It is difficult to accept the District's lack of knowledge or data base.

Failure to monitor or complete riparian functionality analysis is contrary to the land use plan, regulations and policies.

#### Page 24, Technical Recommendations

Carrying capacity computations for the allotment are flawed. Procedures found in Appendix #3 clearly show that carrying capacities are inflated. Stocking rates are above observed actual use that did not to meet objectives. The practice of weight averaging use pattern mapping data compromises significant overgrazing problems on the allotment. The District's choice of Desired utilization rates are arbitrary and not consistent with objectives previously established in the 1988 Livestock Agreement. Summer range carrying capacities are not consistent with the rationale or objectives of the allotment evaluation.

A grazing alternative allowing only winter-spring use by livestock should be develop.

The appropriate management level for wild horses should be determined with 1993 and 1994 use pattern mapping data. Allotment specific objectives should be used to determine carrying capacity.

Monitoring studies conducted three months during the growing season to measure previous year's utilization are not accurate or consistent with manuals. Ms. Sue Skinner November 14, 1995 Page 3

#### SUMMARY

It was our expectation that this allotment evaluation would have been completed two years ago. During January 1993, the District declared the wild horse herds in jeopardy due to drought conditions and overgrazing. While we will support a significant reduction in wild horses to protect their habitat, we expect meaningful changes in livestock practices to avoid future conflicts. It is apparent that comments provided to the District in August 1993 have not had an affect on this allotment re-evaluation, we request better consideration of our concerns and issues prior to the multiple use decision.

25% COTTON FIBER USA

Sincerely,

Nin Barcomt

CATHERINE BARCOMB Executive Director

SONOMA-GERLACH RESOURCE AREA MANAGER TO:

THE SECOND DRAFT OF THE RODFO CREEK ALLOTMENT RE: RE-EVALUATION

10-31-95

The following are my comments and recommendations:

- 1. Active preference at 6462 AUMS
  - A. Winter range
    - Winter use area is providing forage ona sustained 11. basis even with use above 50%.
  - ii. Area is static to upward and is in late seral. Page 19,3A. Spring use area Β.
    - i. Allowable use was met every year except 1992, which was the driest year, and even then it was not met' on only 13%. Page 19,3B.
  - Summer use area С.
    - Objectives were met on PUTR 2. PUTR 5 showed no i. apparent use. Page 19.
    - ii. Objectives on key species was met on an average of 70% of use area for the four years of monitoring. Page 20.
    - iii. Horse use was exceeded by 140% to 160% during this time. Since horses numbers have never been atH.M.L. during this time, it would be impossible to assume 6462 Livestock AUMS could not be sustained. Page 22.
- 2. Recommend grazing alternative 3 first and alternative 1 second
  - with the following added: A. Due to lack of fences, the permittee would be notified if more than 20 head drift into other use areas and given reasonable time to remove.
  - The 1227 AUMS in the livestock winter use area for Β. emergency horse use could be used by livestock as another spring use area in the years they are not needed by horses.
- Recommend wild horse grazing alternative 2. 3.
  - A. Horses on the lake range originate from Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation in the fall and they return there in the spring, making management of those horses impossible.
  - Would leave horses on the Fox Range a larger cushion in Β. tough winters such as 1992-1993.
  - Change the paragraph on page 27 to: Horses will be C. gathered every three years. Rationale: If horse gathers are not completed every three years, the objectives of the AMP will be impossible to attain.

n Ceresola