

### **United States Department of the Interior**

ior

lei.

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT Surprise Field Office PO Box 460 Cedarville, CA 96104 www.ca.blm.gov/surprise

In Reply Refer To: 4700 (CA-370) P

October 18, 2005

### NOTICE OF FINAL DECISION FULL FORCE AND EFFECT

# CAPTURE PLAN for the WALL CANYON EAST, NUT MOUNTAIN, and BITNER WILD HORSE MANAGEMENT AREAS

CERTIFIED MAIL: 7160 3901 9842 8266 9838

Return Receipt Requested

Dawn Lappin Wild Horse Organized Assistance PO Box 555 Reno, NV 89504

Dear Dawn:

#### **Full Force and Effect Decision**

Enclosed for your review is my Decision Record/Finding of No Significant Impact for Environmental Assessment #CA-370-05-28, Capture Plan for the Wall Canyon East, Nut Mountain, and Bitner Wild Horse Herd Management Areas. This is my final decision for this action and is effective upon issuance, in accordance with 43 CFR 4770.3(c).

#### Authority

The authority for this decision is contained in Section 3(a) and (b) and in Section 4 of the Wild Free Roaming Horse and Burro Act (Public Law 92-195, as amended), and Title 43 CFR, Part 4700, Subpart 4720.

#### **Appeals**

Within 30 days of your receipt of this decision, you have the right of appeal to the Interior Board of Land Appeals, Office of the Secretary, in accordance with the regulation at 43 CFR, Part 4, Subpart E and 43 CFR 4770.3 (a) and (c). Within 30 days after filing a Notice of Appeal, you are required to provide a complete statement of the

reasons why you are appealing. The appellant has the burden of showing that the decision appealed from is in error. If you wish to file an Appeal and Petition for a Stay, the Petition for a Stay must accompany your Notice of Appeal and be in accordance with 43 CFR, Part 4, Subpart E and 43 CFR 4770.3 (c). Copies of the Notice of Appeal and Petition for Stay must be submitted to (1) the Interior Board of Land Appeals, Office of Hearings and Appeals, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22203, (2) the Regional Solicitor's Office, Pacific Southwest Region, U.S. Department of the Interior, 2800 Cottage Way, room E-2753, Sacramento, CA 95825-1890, and (3) the Bureau of Land Management, Surprise Field Office, PO Box 460, Cedarville, CA 96104. The original documents should be filed with this office.

If you request a stay, you have the burden of proof to demonstrate that a stay should be granted. A petition for a stay of decision pending appeals shall show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

- 1. The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied,
- 2. The likelihood of the appellant's success on the merits,
- 3. The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted, &
- 4. Whether the public interest favors granting the stay.

For additional information, please contact Steve Surian of my staff at (530) 279-2712, or write to the above address.

Sincerely,

Owen Billingsley

Surprise Field Manager

Dten Jurian (for)

Enclosure,

Decision Record/FONSI for EA-CA-370-05-28.

## FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT and DECISION RECORD

Capture Plan for the
Wall Canyon East, Nut Mountain and
the Bitner Wild Horse Herd Management Areas
Environmental Assessment
CA-370-05-28

Based on the analysis of potential environmental impacts contained in Environmental Assessment #CA-370-05-28, and considering the significance criteria in 40 CFR 1508.27, I have determined that the action will not have a significant effect on the human environment. An environmental impact statement is therefore not required.

#### Rationale

The Proposed Action and two alternatives were analyzed in Environmental Assessment #CA-370-05-28 (EA) dated September 2005.

I have chosen to implement the Proposed Action because this alternative would maintain wild horse herds at appropriate management levels, and lead to restoration of a thriving natural ecological balance between wild horses and their habitat. Implementation of the Proposed Action is consistent with land use planning goals and objectives, and it is in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.

The use of immuno-contraceptives or fertility control (Alternative #2) is not necessary for population control for herd management areas with relatively low Appropriate Management Levels. Consequently, the change in gather frequency or population growth is not expected to significantly different Alternatives # 1 and #2 for the herds analyzed by the EA.

The No Action Alternative was not selected as it would not restore or maintain a thriving natural ecological balance. Available water would continue to be limited and season-long wild horse use in upland and riparian areas would continue to increase. As the wild horse population grew, damage to the rangeland resources would result. This alternative therefore is not consistent with Land Health Standards, and Land Use Plan objectives.

#### Discussion

The EA was mailed to interested publics on September 23, 2005, with a comment period through October 14, 2005. One comment letter was received on the EA.

The comments from a private citizen were supportive of the proposed action, but stated that a 3 year gather cycle was necessary to maintain AMLs, instead of the 4-5 year gather cycle as identified in the EA. The letter also stated that a longer gather cycle would result in more horses being placed into long-term holding facilities, and expressed concerns over the wild horse program costs.

#### Decision

Based on all the information available to me, it is my decision to implement the Proposed Action of Environmental Assessment #CA-370-05-28, the gathering of wild horses in the Wall Canyon East, Bitner, and Nut Mountain Herd Management Areas, and the gathering of feral horses outside of a herd management area in the Mosquito Valley Allotment. No additional mitigation measures were identified as a result of the environmental analysis process.

Steve Surian, Environmental Coordinator

Cct 18, 2005 Date of signature

Owen Billingsley, Surprise Field Manager

Oct 18, 2005 Date of signature