minutes

## September 8, 1984

The meeting of the Consultation and Cooridination Planning Committee started at 9:10 a.m. in the Conference Room at Bureau of Land Management in Las Vegas. Introductions were again made to refresh memories; all C & C members were present, as well as representatives for the Five Party Agreement. Ken Reid, Chairman, stated he would be able to continue on the committee as long as the meetings were on the weekends, when he could attend. All members agreed that meetings would be made to include all members. Ken Reid began the discussion of what problems exist on the range. Dawn Lappin introduced notes from the field trip of August 4, 1984. Water, spillage from construction, as well as a wet year had brought horses down from their range into the basin. George Reid informed the group about the numerous seeps in the canyons. As water dries up in the basin the horses move back to the mountains.

Some discussions led into the avenue of disposal of horses that are not allowed under PL 92-195. Kemp Conn, District Manager, explained the legal aavenues that must be adhered, i.e., capture plans, comment periods for the public, capture of animals, and methods of disposal. Ken Reid had prepared a questionaire regarding the issues. It was determined by the committee that no votes would be taken, but rather a consensus of opinions. The questions were prioritized, rather than in numerical order.

## QUESTIONAIRE:

- 1. The entire group agreed that some reductions were necessary.
- 2. & 3. Were combined and a reduction of 50-75% reduction would be necessary to halt vegetative damage to the resource, as well as protect the animals in the years of drought (which are the more normal).
- 4. & 5. Combined, consensus of random removal, leaving a broad distribution between age and sex.
- 6. Under the law, adoption first priority. Later the discussion cntered around the lowering of fees, according to a time table of the adoptable animals. I.e, \$125.00 for the first 45 days; a waiver of lowered fees after that period. The consensus of the group, Dawn Lappin and Lloyd Smith expressing some concern about the minimum fees, agreed that a minimum of \$50.00 per animal.
- 7. same as above.
- 8. At first this was not thought as a viable solution, however under advisement, it was dtermined that this alternative should be included for those specific times where it may be necessary to protect the genetic qualities, or for scientic purposes. Relocation or transplant is however, limited.

- 9. Present Bureau of Land Management policies allow only for the destruction of sick or injured horses; and the adoption of all others. Dawn Lappin and Dart Anthony did not believe it was the duty of this committee to influence or attempt to change legislation.
- 9. & 10. Limited by current BLM policies.
- 11. No one agreed to putting unadoptables back onto the range. Which would disrupt the random capture.
- 12. Consensus that springs needed improvement. It was not discussed who would fund this project, but all agreed that manual labor could and has been contributed, in the past by National Wild Horse Association, in the future, groups included in the C & C. Planning Group.
- 13. I don't have notes that this was discussed, but I believe that once NDOW determined the needs of wildlife this would be in their management plan.
- 14. Agreed water facilities should be for both wildhorses and wildlife. Somewhere about this time, Dawn Lappin pointed out the priorities of this range. 1. Air Force needs; 2. wild horse refuge, and wildlife. Since this is the only refuge for horses, since horses are limited to 1971 range. At the present and probably in the future, hunting would not be permitted for wildlife, so any excesses in populations would be for transplant purposes. 15. same as above.
- 16. Dart Anthony had sent letters inquiring about satillite counting; Air Force inquiry said it would not be specific enough and improbable because of the nature of testing on the range.
- 17. It was determined that a base population of 1500-2000 wild horses should be planned for.

Frank Bingham, Environmental Coordinator for the Five Party Agreement wrote on the board his interpretations of what he had heard, the C & C Committee agreed.

- 1. Manage for 1500-2000 wild horses, initially. through non-selective remval.
- 2. Develop Management Plan
  - a. improvements
  - b. monitoring
  - c. wildlife numbers, improvements, and transplant opportunities.
- 3. Adoption Procedures
  - Nationwide public relations program, keeping horses in Nevada.

b. Satillite centers nationally and public relations locally.

## 4. Adoption Fee

- a. After consideration of WHOA analysis of adoptability and fees, Initially, \$125.00 for the first 45 days' request waiver after 45 days.
- b. Subcommittee set up including Air Force, Dart Anthony, and Lloyd Smith. (Air Force conatct: Lt. Col. John Kumenicz HQTFWC/PA Nellis AFB, NV 89191.
- c. Lloyd Smith and Dawn Lappin preferred minimum pf \$50.00 adoption fee. After 45 days action pursuant to statutes.

Five Party group will gettogether and get back to C & C. Target date for early October, around 20th. Agreed that C & C members would limit any verbage to the BLM release.

Name	Ageney or Organiza	hin Telephan
Frank Bingham	DOE	295 1146
John Young,	Nev. Wildlife Fed.	4524223
Votan Dobalded	Dept of Wildlife	878-8836
1 Emerit P. KEN	Sierre Club	459-8386
Dar Anthony	HSSN	382-4759
Toe Ross	BLM	388-6403
STAN WILKERSON	BIM	388-6403
CUB WOLFE	Eum	726-3141
DAN JARLENSKI	USAF	643-2260
COL. BOB SMITH	USAF	295-3306
KEMP CONN	BLM	
Daron G. Lappin	WHOA	851-4817
LLOYD' T. SMITH	N. W. H.A.	361-7704
	Eraternet oth Desot Bighorn	565-3335
Taking Driver	Fraternit of the Desid Bighorn	388-6403
MilLegniller	BLM	726 3141

St.