

August 10, 1992

Mustangs & Burros

District Ranger
Toiyabe National Forest
2881 S. Valley View, Suite 16
Las Vegas, NV 89102

Dear District Ranger:

We are pleased to give comments during your scoping period for your proposal to gather and remove wild horses from Lee Canyon and Mt. Charleston Wilderness Area.

The following are our comments we would like incorporated into your scoping period:

That alternative mitigating measures besides removal be investigated to prevent expansion of wild horse herds into territories they did not occupy prior to the Act. (ie: fencing, water development, etc.)

That determinations are made as to why horses are migrating outside their areas. (ie: excess numbers, grazing pressures from other herbivores, encroachment of their territories by mining or development, etc.)

That special consideration be given to wild horses in a multiple use area because wild horses are limited to areas they were found in 1971. Other users have essentially no limits.

That monitoring and inventorying recommendations by the National Wild Horse and Burro Advisory Board be used as guidelines for monitoring and inventorying wild horses and burros. (Copy enclosed)

We would like to again notify you that our Reno office of ISPMB has closed. We look forward to participating with you in the management of these wild horses.

For ISPMB,

Ms. Karen A. Sussman, President

Nevada State Clearinghouse

'92 JUL -7 A10:51

PL III	
PLU	
RW II	
P.W I	
TECH	
MA	
WP .	
CD	
TAHOE	
FILE	
	RW II PW I TECH MA WP . CD TAHOE

Department of Administration Budget Division Blasdel Bldg, Rm. 204 Carson City, Nv. 89710 687-4065

AUG 0 6 1992

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE

DATE: July 6, 1992	-	TAHOE		
TO:	- L	FILE		
Governor's Office	Legislative Co	ounsel Bureau	Conservation-Natural	
XXX Agriculture	Minerals		Resources:	
Colorado River Cmsn.	Nuclear Project	ts Ofc.	XXX Director's Office	
Communications Bd.	PSC		XXX State Lands	
Community Services	Tourism		Environmental Protection	on
Economic Development Fire Marshal	Transportation		XXX Forestry Historic Preservation	
"Human Resources	UNR Library	:au	XXX Conservation Districts	
Aging Services	XXX Wild Horse Cor	mission	State Parks	
Health Division	XXX XXX Wildlife	1	Water Resources	
Health Protection	Natural Heri	age	Water Planning	
Nevada SAI # 92300192			Round-UP in Lee Canyon	L
	& Mo	unt Charleston V	<u> Ilderness Area</u>	
CLEARINGHOUSE NOTES:				
Attached, for your review	and comment, is a	copy of the above	e mentioned project. Plea	se
evaluate it with respect to its effe	ct on your plans a	nd programs; the	importance of its	
contribution to state and/or local a	reawide goals and	objectives; and it	ts accord with any	
applicable laws, orders or regulation	ons with which you	ı are familiar.		
Please submit your comme	inte no later than	August 7, 1002	lee the box below for she	\rt
comments. If significant comments				,, ,
Nevada SAI number and comment			tterneau and mercue the	
THE SECTION TO BE SOME ETER	. nv netvetanio	· OFNOV		
THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED	D BY REVIEWING	AGENCY:		
No comment on this	project	Conference	desired (See below)	
Proposal supported a		The state of the s	support (See below)	
Additional informatio				
A/tagtonal informatio	50.011	Disapprova	l (Explain below)	
AGENCY COMMENTS:				
If the federal code of				
managed in the areas where	they existed	at the time of	enactment then	
there should not be any qu	estion on whet	her the wild h	orses in Lee Canyon	
and other areas outside th	eir territory	should be remo	ved or not. The	
only questions should be o	-			
1			-	
The issues and concer	ns identified	ov the Forest	Service have no	
bearing since those impact				
as they are required to be		TALL CITO WALL		
as shot are reduited to be	•		1	
0 - 11.			A SECRETARIS SECTION A SECTION AND ASSESSMENT OF THE SECTION OF TH	200.0
			GOVERNO ACTION	

Nevada State Clearinghouse

DURING EARLY SPRING APPEARS TO ATTRACT TH

Department of Administration Budget Division Blasdel Bldg, Rm. 204 Carson City, Nv. 89710 687-4065

			Carson City, Nv. 897 687-4065	10			
	DATE: July 6, 1992						
	TO:Governor's Office	Legislative Counsel Bureau	Conservation-Nat	cural			
	XXX Agriculture Colorado River Cmsn. Communications Bd.	MineralsNuclear Projects Ofc. PSC	Resources: XXX Director's XXX State Lands	Resources: XXX Director's Office			
	Community Services Economic Development Fire Marshal	TourismTransportation UNR Mines Bureau	Environment XXX Forestry	Environmental Protection			
•	Human Resources Aging Services Health Division	UNR LibraryXXX_Wild Horse Commission	XXX Conservation State Parks	on Districts			
	Kealth Protection	XXX XXX Wildlife Natural Heritage	Water Resou				
	Nevada SAI # 92300192	2300192 Project: Scoping, Wild Horse Round-UP in Lee Canyon & Mount Charleston Wilderness Area					
	evaluate it with respect to its ef contribution to state and/or local	v and comment, is a copy of the a fect on your plans and programs; t I areawide goals and objectives; an tions with which you are familiar.	the importance of it	ts			
	Please submit your common comments. If significant common Nevada SAI number and common services are submit your common submit your common services.	nents no later than <u>August 7, 199</u> nts are provided, please use agenc nt due date for our reference.	2. Use the box bely letterhead and in	ow for short clude the			
	THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLET	ED BY REVIEWING AGENCY:		JUL 0 8 1992			
	No comment on the Proposal supported Additional information	as written Condition	ence desired (See b onal support (See b oval (Explain below	relow)			
	AGENCY COMMENTS:						
	THE DIVISION OF	FORESTRY IS IN SO	apport of	0			
	REMOVING THE INICO horses From LEE of Kyle CANYON WILD/ANDS. THE DIVISION IS RESPONSIBLE FOR PRO- VIDING "EMERGENCY SERVICES" IN THE MI. CHARLESTON FIRE DISTRICT AND HAS RESPONDED TO NUMEROUS HORSE VS. VCHICLE ACCIDENTS; MANY OF THOSE ACCIDENTS CAUSING LOSS OF LIFE. IN 1973 WILDHORSES CAUSE AN ACCIDENT ON						
	District AND HAS R	DISTRICT AND HAS RESPONDED TO NUMEROUS HORSE VS.					
	LOSS OF LIFE. IN 1973 WILDhorses CAUSE AN ACCIDENT ON Kylo CANYON highway Killing Yout of a family of 5.						
	HUESES ARE EXTREME	HAZAROS ALUNG TH	HE ROAD CS/	DEGIA/S			



STATE OF NEVADA

DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE

1700 Valley Road P.O. Box 10678 Reno, Nevada 89520-0022

(702) 688-1500

WILLIAM A. MOLINI

Fax (702) 688-1595

Region III

III-93-024

State Mailroom Complex Las Vegas, Nevada 89158

July 22, 1992

Juan Palma, Acting District Ranger Las Vegas Ranger District Toiyabe National Forest 2881 So. Valley View, Suite 16 Las Vegas, NV 89102

RE: 2260

BOB MILLER

Dear Mr. Palma:

The proposal to gather wild horses which are occupying and using lands located in Lee Canyon and the Mount Charleston wilderness area has been reviewed by Habitat personnel in Las Vegas.

The Nevada Department of Wildlife is charged with the management of wildlife within the State of Nevada, and, as such, has exhibited concern relative to horses and burros, which are considered to be wild, on numerous occasions. The condition of the range resources in the Spring Mountain Range have been identified as being in less that desirable condition. These conditions have affected wildlife resources to the point that planned Rocky Mountain elk augmentations have had to be precluded until such time that range resources are in acceptable condition. It is recognized that drought conditions in Nevada have contributed significantly to this situation; but, use by wild horses and burros and continued grazing by domestic livestock has caused this situation to intensify.

The Department is supportive of this action which would remove horses from Lee Canyon, Macks Canyon, McFarland Canyon, Trough Spring and Wallace Canyon. A plan, in conjunction with the Bureau of Land Management, should be devised which would allow for the removal of any of these animals which leave a recognized Horse Management Area (HMA) and allow methods which would discourage the movement back into these areas as much as possible.

July 22, 1992

ity to comment upon this proposed ands of Southern Nevada. If you litional input, please feel free to the Department at (702) 486-5127.

Juan Palma

Thank you for the opportunity to comment upon this proposed action on the National Forest lands of Southern Nevada. If you have any questions or require additional input, please feel free to contact the Region III office of the Department at (702) 486-5127.

Sincerely,

Cai D. Raisen

Cornelio O. Padilla Supervising Biologist-Habitat

COP:

cc: Habitat Division Chief Game - Las Vegas, Pahrump Law Enforcement - Las Vegas



THALIA M. DONDERO Commissioner

Board of County Commissioners

CLARK COUNTY BRIDGER BUILDING 225 BRIDGER AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155 (702) 455-3500

July 13, 1992

Kim Marshall, District Ranger Las Vegas Ranger District, Toiyabe National Forest 2881 S. Valley View, Suite 16 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

PROPOSAL TO GATHER AND REMOVE WILD HORSES FROM LEE CANYON AND THE MT. CHARLESTON WILDERNESS AREA

Dear Mr. Marshall:

Thank you for the opportunity to review the Scoping Document for the proposed wild horse removal. In addition to the Land and Resource Management Issues and Concerns identified by the Forest Service under section II. of the Scoping Document, there are several other issues and concerns that I feel should be addressed. Some of these concerns are the same ones that I expressed in July 1990 in response to the wild horse removal from Lee Canyon proposed by the Las Vegas Ranger District at that time.

I am in agreement with the removal of the horses, as this course of action would be consistent with the management objectives for Management Area 11 and the provisions of 36 CFR 222.21 (a(1)) relative to the location and management of wild horses and with the management objectives of Area 12 to protect the fragile environment of the Wilderness Area and to maintain quality watershed conditions. The following additional issues and concerns are listed for your consideration:

- The scoping document does not indicate what will be done with the horses when they are removed from the canyon areas. Will they be returned to the Spring Mountain Wild Horse Territory?
- Under 1.C. <u>Nature of Decision to be Made</u>, the number of horses to be removed "to prevent expansion into areas outside federally designated territories" is questioned. Does this imply that additional horses may be removed from the Spring Mountain Wild Horse Territory to reduce

the likelihood that additional horses will move into the canyon areas? If so, where would these horses be taken?

- 3. Is adequate forage available to support the increased population resulting from relocating the horses to the wild horse territory?
- 4. Would wildlife habitat or other carrying capacities be significantly impacted by the return of the horses to the wild horse territory?
- 5. Will the stability of the soils or the hydrology of the territory be threatened by the increased horse population, resulting in a transference of the problem from the canyon areas to the wild horse territory?
- 6. Is there a problem, such as lack of forage or lack of drinking water, that causes the horses to wander onto these lands? What actions can be taken to increase the likelihood that the horses will stay within the designated wild horse territory?

I would appreciate being notified of the decision made on this proposal. Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

THALIA M. DONDERO COMMISSIONER

TMD:JY:bh L180



July 4, 1992

George Perkins, Ranger Las Vegas Ranger District Toiyabe National Forest 2881 S. Valley View - Suite 16 Las Vegas, NV 89102

Re: 2260 - Scoping Statement - Wild Horse Removal from Lee Canyon and the Mt. Charleston Wilderness area.

We are in complete agreement with all the identified concerns outlined in your scoping statement.

Additionally, we and other horse owners adjacent to the target areas are troubled by the potential for the spread of disease from these small bands of feral horses and outcast herd members to the privately owned horses. Since it is the practice of the wild horses to run off their less healthy herd members, the possibility of disease in the outcast animal increases.

As studies published by the Bureau of Land Management demonstrate very high costs for the roundup and maintenance of feral, free-roaming horses, and that horses available for adoption exceed the individual demand for such animals, it is our recommendation that a contract for the roundup, removal and disposition of the wild and free-roaming horses be put out for bid to private contractors and, further, that restrictions on means of capture and disposition be as minimal as may be consistent with the interests of all concerned citizens and agencies.

Bulaia C. Cloutt
Barbara C Orcutt

BCO/mf

Betty L. Burge, biologist/desert to toise specialist

5157 Poncho Circle, Las Vegas, NV 89119 (702) 739-8043

Kim E. Marshall
District Ranger
Toiyabe National Forest
Las Vegas Ranger District
2881 Valley View Blvd. S. Suite 16
Las Vegas, NV 89102

1 July 1992

Re: 2260

Dear Mr. Marshall:

Thank you for the opportunity to review the scoping document re the proposal to gather and remove wild horses from Lee Canyon and the Mt. Charleston Wilderness Area.

You have my support not to compromise the Wilderness Act and the federal code of regulations at 36 CFR 222.21 (a(1)) and to do what is necessary regarding the removal of wild horses to protect the fragile environment and its unique plants and animals and to maintain quality watershed conditions.

I am not knowledgeable about the methods that might be used or how many horses should be removed. The full knowledge of those who do know should be used to effect efficient and humane removal of the numbers necessary to effect the goal. If disposal of live animals might create a bottleneck it should not determine the numbers that need to be removed (on an ecological basis). Euthanasia should be considered a viable solution, if necessary, but the flesh should not be wasted. It should be given to the needy for consumption.

Sincerely yours,

Lety L. Burge

Dear Me. Kerkins; This letter is in response to 2260 Seaping Doc. encerning the removal of excess wild house in che mt. Charlesten area.
I have absolutely no objections as to the removal of the excess head court Hopefully this will include the Cold Creek & Willow Springe area. Il have seen 10 newson's here already for a total kendement of 40+! it have witnessed negetation win and also destruction of the repairon habitant. The elk calining grounds have been meren by will hours. I have actually read calued left alone by their mathew due to have i but not aboudanced. The housen upset the watering areas of the Elk herds. The hours are always at the creek where the grow in, tha the elle usually grage on the elk have been faced to eat in leave hours is long post due! Sincerley, Some L. Knowle. "JUST; DO IT! (as the saying goes!)

v -----

Forest Service Region 4

Toiyabe National Forest Las Vegas Ranger District 2881 So. Valley View - Suite 16 Las Vegas, NV 89102

Reply To: 2260

Date: July 24, 1992

Cornelio O. Padilla
Supervising Biologist-Habitat
Nevada Department of Wildlife
Region III
State Mailroom Complex
Las Vegas, Nevada 89158

Dear Cornelio:

I received your letter of July 22, 1992 regarding the issue of wild horses and burros. Thank you for your review and support of our action to remove horses from Lee Canyon, Macks Canyon, Mcfarland Canyon, Trough Spring, and Wallace Canyon.

Thank you for your input. If you have any further questions, please contact George Perkins.

Sincerely,

JUAN M. PALMA:

Acting District Ranger

Jua- M. Palma

cc: G. Perkins



BOB MILLER, Governor

STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

P. O. Box 170 Las Vegas, Nevada 89125-0170 August 28, 1992

GARTH F. DULL, Director

Mr. George Perkins Toiyabe National Forest Las Vegas Ranger District 2881 S. Valley View, Suite 16 Las Vegas, NV 89102

Dear Mr. Perkins:

In review of the scoping document for the proposal to gather and remove wild horses from Lee Canyon and the Mt. Charleston wilderness area, the major impact upon Nevada Department of Transportation facilities would fall within item seven under "Identified land and resource management issues and concerns".

The gathering operation should be conducted in such a fashion as to not increase the risk and exposure of vehicles using both SR 156 (Lee Canyon Road) and SR 158 (Deer Creek Road).

The area that is provided for relocation of these animals should not increase the potential for vehicle/animal involvement on any Nevada Department of Transportation roadways.

A copy of the scoping document, when completed, is requested.

Very truly yours,

Kent E. Mayer

Assistant District Engineer

KEM: jfj

cc: Environmental Services Division