Memorandum

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

DATE: February 11, 1970

TO : Ross Ferris

FROM : Martin W. Buzan

SUBJECT: Staff Study - Las Vegas Bombing and Gunnery Range - AEC Withdrawals

The attached staff study was reviewed with the State Director and it was his determination that a comprehensive study be made of the entire situation, and a complete staff report, together with recommendations be submitted for his review and transmission to Director and possibly the Secretary.

Following is a suggested format for the required staff study:

- 1. Purpose of Study.
- 2. Background or History of the Situation.
 - a. Purpose and date of withdrawals
 - b. Agency authorities or jurisdiction spelled out in withdrawal orders.
 - c. Livestock grazing (lease and suspension agreements, purchase of base properties and grazing rights, by whom and where records are filed.)
 - d. Sources of information for material in report.
- 3. The Problems.
 - a. Livestock grazing in connection with adjoining BLM Districts what District, what problems are involved, where problems exist (describe or show on map).
 - b. Livestock problems inside withdrawals -Estimated use of withdrawn area by livestock - by operator, number of livestock, AUM's. (Identify portion or extent of deliberate yearlong use of area vs. drift on and off area). AEC problems. Air Force problems. Wildhorse Range Problems. Wildlife Problems.

4. Alternative	C. l i
	Solutions to Identified Problems.
a. Adjoinin	g BLM administered lands.
b. Inside w	ithdrawal area.
c. Etc.	
. Recommendati	ons.
	which should be taken by BLM (include units and cost if applicable).
(1) (2)	
b. Actions	which should be taken by other agencies.
(1) (2)	
. Appendix or	Attachments.
	Prepared by:
	Signatures
Concurrences	
or Non-concurre	nces)

I previously furnished you information received from the Corps of Engineers Office in Los Angeles relative to payment to ranchers for loss of grazing privileges on withdrawn areas, the location of records pertaining thereto and whom to contact in Los Angeles Office for further information.

Approval (or Non-approval)

On January 27, at meeting in State Office, Mr. Carl Shaw of AEC Office, Las Vegas, advised that they have had problems with livestock on the Test Station area. Some livestock have been killed. Several times prior to tests they have had to fly the immediate vicinity to drive cattle away. Ranchers (Fallini, Lamb, Calvin) are frequently in the area with pickups and horse trailers. Locked gates are continually being knocked down.

Cattle are either driven or drift 20 miles or move into the withdrawn area. Shaw advised that the following be contacted for information:

W. W. Allaire, Assistant Test Manager, AEC. He has been there a long time and can give you facts as to cattle problems. Roy Marks, AEC Coordinator and liaison man with Air Force. Telephone 734-3307 to arrange for meeting. You can also arrange date for helicopter inspection of Test Range Area. Perhaps joint meeting with Air Force personnel can be arranged through Marks also. Shaw indicated that Air Force were pretty fed up with livestock on the withdrawal also. Stepanek is apparently acquainted with Allaire, Marks and others with AEC and should be included in any visits or inspections of area. AEC will be glad to work with BLM on the livestock problem. Shaw said that boundary of AEC withdrawal is poorly marked and that they have given some thought to re-marking of boundary.

Master we Bugan

Enclosure: 1 Staff Study

1255 4100 (N-131)

STAFF STUDY

1. Subject: Grazing use of the Las Vegas Bombing and Gunnery Range and Atomic Energy Commission withdrawn areas.

This report was made at the request of the State Director as a result of a memorandum from the Battle Mountain District Manager dated October 17, 1969. (Copy attached).

2. Assumptions: The major portion of the area was withdrawn for military purposes by EO 3578 dated 10/29/40. An overlapping withdrawal with some additional area added, was accomplished by PLO 712 dated 4/6/51.

The military and AEC entered into "Lease in Suspension" agreements with the livestock operators, whereby they were paid for not grazing the withdrawn area. Purchase agreements were negotiated at a later date and the grazing privileges were terminated. It is assumed that the grazing privileges were either purchased or suspension agreements in effect since the withdrawals; therefore, any grazing use either past or present is in trespass.

3. Discussion: The boundary of the withdrawn area is not fenced. BLM administers the adjacent public land for grazing under Section 3 or 15 of the Taylor Grazing Act and the Grazing Regulations for the Public Lands. Due to the proximity to lands currently grazed yearlong by established livestock operations, the north, northeast and northwest boundaries (approximately 150 miles in length) of the withdrawn area are where the major portion of the grazing overlap occurs. These are also the better grazing lands within the withdrawn area. The grazing use appears to be tolerated by the military and AEC authorities, and apparently no fees are paid.

The extent of grazing use on the withdrawn area cannot be accurately determined. Estimated use reported by the Battle Hountain and Las Vegas Districts is as follows:

District	Operator	Humber	Class	Season
Las Vegas	Burns	8 - 20	Cattle	Yearlong
Las Vegas	Moser	0 - 30	Cattle	Yearlong
Las Vegas	Ben Colvin	3500	Cattle	Yearlong
Las Vegas	Lida Livestock	10 - 100	Cattle	Yearlong
Las Vocas	Owens	Unknown	Hornes	Yearlong
Las Vegas	Floyd Lemb	700 - 1500	Cattle	Yearlong

District	Operator	Number	Class	Season
Battle Htn.	Arcularius	1000 - 1400	Cattle	Nov June
Battle Mtn.	Colvin & Clifford	1550	Cattle	Nov June
Battle Mtn.	Fallini	600	Cattle	Yearlong

Management of the public lands and resources is severely affected by the grazing use made on the withdrawn area, since adequate management plans for adjacent allotments cannot be developed or implemented until such use is eliminated or the boundary of the withdrawn area fenced. Use of the withdrawn area is so extensive that the operators are not interested in any plan on their allotments that does not include, or would exclude, use of the withdrawal. This position is readily understood when you consider that there may be 89,000 AUM's of forage obtained annually. At a market value of \$2/AUM the \$153,000 would pay for the 150 miles of fence needed in one year.

Since management of the adjacent public land cannot be obtained within the present situation, there are only two alternatives:

- 1. Manage and control the grazing use within the withdrawn area. This may not be practical, since access is very limited due to military and AEC activities.
- 2. Fence the boundary and manage the areas outside the withdrawal in accordance with resource needs.
- 4. Conclusions: The adjacent public lands cannot be managed unless the boundary is fenced or the grazing managed within the withdrawn area.
- 5. Recommendations: Management of grazing within the withdrawal is not practical without unlimited access. This does not appear to be feasible; therefore, it is recommended that the boundary of the withdrawal be fenced by the holding agency and that grazing within the area be terminated and strictly enforced.

It is further recommended that initial effort toward solution of this problem be initiated at the Director's level.

Signed:

R. E. Ferris

Range Specialist

Enclosures: 2

Encl. 1 - Battle Htn. DM's memo dtd 10/17/69

Encl. 2 - Plat of withdrawn area

1/14/70 - Terrir Lesseure altached note by Stato Disector. Following is the enformation obtained from the Restly Bronch, Corps of Engineers, Los Original Palet. Origales Caly, Lousel of Information Rabent Rower, Cheef of approvised, Realty Branch, Corps of Engineen, For augeles Calif. Phone 213 688-5595. For specific information abone Mt. Rakalow, apprecion, some office on above, Phone 213-688-5598. Mr Rakolow gestonally handled many of the leave of Ausgension agreements and for gerchares in convection with the Meller (Las beger Bemburg & Lumosy Kange) air Force Welkstown. Chronding to Mr Kakolow all range nights incolving the Sternery Rosge I the AFC withdrawal have been elemenated through purchase . Some of the surchoser evere not completed entil the 1960s. a conglete severo of the seconds would be required to delerning the actual dates of Rease & suspension

· Elgreements of perseborer, most of resords are available in Lox angeler office of Corps, Some of the early recorded may have been sent to their St. Louis Becorde Centar 1 In reigone to the question or to what agency had authority to garnet or deny lewertock grozing on the withdraw all The Rakelow states That it evoull be the air force & AEC, However since the arear were unferred tempossible to patrol completely levertock buestock ere could not be greverted. He suggested that come one complaints relative to livestock die of the withdrawold be directed to the Sollowing of Ferer Management Kluporal Branch Corpord Engweine 300 Th. Los augeles St. Las Augeles Cales. 90053. 7116.

Martin . Enel # 1 not endoud. Can we show any effort to cleanly or get the facts rather than "assume" what the molitary and FFC did regarding The grains pivilige on lands with drawn to verify that any we is in thespass? Can be identify who less This information en Though we my not as yet abtained it The Directors shop is Istoke The action Riss seemunds? If so we Moved furned the name of addies a addresser that shuld be contacted. non Bob Rouse Cheef of appaired Lealty Brouch Coops of Engineers Tos angeles Cales

Thone 213 688-5595